



## Telehealth and Remote Monitoring for Chronic Pediatric Conditions: Opportunities and Vulnerabilities

Mohammed Khalid Dhwe Alosaimi<sup>(1)</sup>, Abdullah Sulaiman Alqefari<sup>(2)</sup>, Eman Alasgah<sup>(3)</sup>, Fahad Ibrahim Hamedhi<sup>(4)</sup>, Norah Saab Manyan Alanazi<sup>(5)</sup>, Areej Ali Othman Ajeabi<sup>(6)</sup>, Mohammed Munawir Alsuhaymi<sup>(7)</sup>, Osamah Hussin Hussin Mathkooor<sup>(8)</sup>, Noorafheed Almarri<sup>(9)</sup>, Khaled Farhan Salem Almalki<sup>(10)</sup>, Maha Sultan Majed Alotaibi<sup>(11)</sup>, Majed Jami Almutairi<sup>(12)</sup>, Halah Muflih Alrashidi<sup>(13)</sup>, Mohammed Saud Saad Alsaedi<sup>(14)</sup>

(1) King Abdulaziz Specialist Hospital in Taif, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(2) Second Health Cluster, Riyadh, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(3) Diriyah Hospital, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(4) Prince Mohammed Bin Nasser Hospital, Gazan, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(5) Health Center, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(6) Al-Aridah General Hospital, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(7) Assistant Management for Compliance-Riyadh, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(8) King Faisal Hospital in Taif, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(9) Eastern Region Dammam, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(10) Al-Dayer Bani Malik - Athwan Center, Jazan, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(11) Rn Albjadyah Phc, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(12) Quba General Hospital/Qassim Region, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(13) Al-Madinah Al-Munawwarah – King Fahd Hospital – Dialysis Center, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(14) Maternity and children hospital, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia

### Abstract

**Background:** The increasing prevalence of chronic pediatric conditions necessitates innovative care delivery models. Telehealth and remote monitoring (TRM) have emerged as transformative tools, offering the potential to enhance access, improve management, and empower patients and families. These technologies encompass a broad spectrum, from synchronous video consultations to asynchronous data transmission via Internet of Things (IoT) devices. **Aim:** This narrative review aims to critically synthesize the current evidence (2010-2024) on the application, opportunities, and vulnerabilities of TRM for chronic pediatric conditions. It examines specific modalities including tele-dermatology, remote nursing, virtual physiotherapy, home lab testing, digital social work, and explores overarching themes of equity and cybersecurity. **Methods:** A comprehensive literature search was conducted across PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL, and IEEE Xplore databases. Peer-reviewed articles, systematic reviews, and pertinent grey literature published between 2010 and 2024 were included. A narrative synthesis approach was employed to thematically analyze findings. **Results:** TRM demonstrates significant efficacy in improving healthcare access, adherence, and quality of life for children with chronic illnesses and their families. Specific applications show high diagnostic concordance in dermatology, effective chronic disease management via nursing, and maintained functional gains in physiotherapy. However, profound vulnerabilities persist, including the digital divide exacerbating health inequities, cybersecurity risks to sensitive pediatric data and IoT ecosystems, and challenges in clinical integration and reimbursement. **Conclusion:** TRM presents a viable and effective paradigm for pediatric chronic care, yet its promise is contingent on addressing critical vulnerabilities. Sustainable implementation requires concerted policy efforts to ensure equitable access, robust cybersecurity frameworks, standardized clinical protocols, and adaptable reimbursement models.

### Introduction

The landscape of pediatric healthcare for chronic conditions is undergoing a profound transformation, driven by technological innovation and the imperative to provide continuous, family-centered care beyond the confines of traditional clinical settings. Chronic pediatric conditions—such

as asthma, diabetes, cystic fibrosis, congenital heart diseases, and neurological disorders—require long-term, coordinated management that can place significant logistical, emotional, and financial burdens on children, their families, and healthcare systems (Bitsko, 2022). Telehealth and remote monitoring (TRM) have surged from niche

applications to mainstream care delivery models, a shift dramatically accelerated by the global COVID-19 pandemic (Curfman et al., 2021). TRM encompasses a spectrum of technologies, from synchronous telemedicine (real-time video visits) to asynchronous communication (store-and-forward, messaging) and remote patient monitoring (RPM) using connected devices like spirometers, glucometers, and wearable sensors (Leo et al., 2022).

This review provides a comprehensive narrative synthesis of the evidence from 2010 to 2024, exploring the multifarious applications of TRM in pediatric chronic care. It delves into specific clinical domains—tele-dermatology, remote nursing, virtual physiotherapy, home lab testing, and digital social work—while critically examining two cross-cutting and decisive themes: public health access equity and the cybersecurity of patient data and IoT medical devices. The central thesis is that while TRM offers unprecedented opportunities to reimagine care delivery, its sustainable and ethical integration hinges on proactively identifying and mitigating its inherent vulnerabilities.

### **Tele-Dermatology**

Chronic dermatological conditions in children, such as atopic dermatitis, psoriasis, and congenital nevi, often require longitudinal specialist oversight. Tele-dermatology, primarily using store-and-forward methods where images are sent for later review, has proven highly effective in pediatric populations (Havele et al., 2022). Studies consistently demonstrate high diagnostic concordance between in-person and teledermatology consultations for a range of conditions, often exceeding 80-90% agreement (Bui et al., 2021). This modality significantly reduces travel time and costs for families, minimizes school absences for children, and expedites specialist opinion, particularly for those in rural or underserved regions (Maddukuri et al., 2021).

Furthermore, teledermatology facilitates proactive management, allowing for timely medication adjustments and monitoring of treatment response through serial image submissions (Trinidad et al., 2020). However, vulnerabilities exist. Diagnostic accuracy can be compromised by image quality, lighting, and the absence of tactile examination, which is crucial for certain lesions (Finnane et al., 2017). There is also a risk of perpetuating inequities if families lack access to high-quality smartphone cameras or reliable internet connectivity, potentially delaying care for the most vulnerable (Kamat et al., 2023). Despite these challenges, teledermatology stands as a paradigm of how TRM can efficiently triage and manage stable chronic conditions, reserving in-person visits for complex cases requiring hands-on assessment.

### **Remote Nursing Consultations**

Pediatric nurses are central to the ongoing management of chronic conditions, providing education, symptom monitoring, and psychosocial

support. Remote nursing consultations, conducted via video or phone, have become a cornerstone of TRM, extending the nurse's reach into the home environment (Moreno & Peck, 2020). For children with conditions like type 1 diabetes, remote nursing allows for frequent review of glucose logs and insulin dosing, leading to improved glycemic control and reduced episodes of diabetic ketoacidosis (Lieberman & Barnard-Kelly, 2020).

In asthma care, nurses can conduct virtual inhaler technique checks, review asthma action plans, and assess control, thereby reducing emergency department visits (Portnoy et al., 2020). The relational continuity fostered by remote consultations is a key opportunity, building trust and enabling early identification of subtle clinical or familial concerns that might not surface in episodic clinic visits (Larsen et al., 2019). Vulnerabilities in this domain are often human-factor related. Nurses require specific training in virtual communication and assessment techniques to compensate for the lack of physical presence (Rutledge et al., 2017). There are also limits to remote physical assessment; nuances like pallor, respiratory distress, or hydration status can be harder to gauge, potentially leading to missed deterioration if not carefully managed through structured assessment protocols and clear red-flag guidelines (Kim et al., 2021).

### **Virtual Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation**

For children with chronic neuromuscular conditions (e.g., cerebral palsy), post-surgical rehabilitation needs, or cystic fibrosis, consistent physiotherapy is vital for maintaining function, strength, and pulmonary health. Virtual physiotherapy (tele-rehabilitation) has emerged as a powerful tool to ensure continuity of care (Carlos et al., 2023). Through videoconferencing, physiotherapists can guide children and parents through exercise programs, provide real-time form correction, and adapt regimens based on visual assessment of function (Minghelli et al., 2020).

Studies show that virtual physiotherapy can be as effective as in-person care for maintaining motor gains, improving adherence to home exercise programs, and enhancing self-management skills in adolescents (Holden et al., 2022). It eliminates geographic barriers, allowing access to sub-specialist therapists. The opportunity lies in empowering families as co-therapists and integrating therapy into daily routines. However, significant vulnerabilities include the dependency on caregiver competency and availability, the challenge of providing hands-on interventions like manual therapy, and the need for adequate space and sometimes specialized equipment at home (Barber et al., 2022). Safety is a paramount concern; therapists must carefully select appropriate exercises and provide clear safety instructions to prevent injury in an unsupervised setting (Seron et al., 2021).

### Home Lab Testing Coordination and Asynchronous Data Integration

The management of many chronic conditions, such as inflammatory bowel disease (therapeutic drug monitoring), post-transplant care, or anticoagulation therapy, relies on regular laboratory testing. TRM systems now facilitate home lab testing coordination, where families are mailed specimen collection kits (e.g., for blood spot, stool, or saliva), which are then shipped to central labs with results integrated into the electronic health record (EHR) (Sharma et al., 2019). This model minimizes clinic visits for blood draws, reduces distress for children, and streamlines data flow. When combined with RPM data (e.g., home spirometry, blood pressure), it creates a rich, asynchronous data stream for clinicians.

The opportunity is the move towards proactive, data-driven care where trends can be identified and acted upon before a crisis occurs (Jacquemard et al., 2021). Vulnerabilities are multifaceted. Pre-analytical errors at home (improper collection, storage, or timing) can compromise result accuracy (Kersh et al., 2021). The logistical chain must be robust, and systems for alerting clinicians to critical results must be as fail-safe as those for in-hospital tests. Furthermore, the deluge of data poses a challenge of "information overload," requiring clinical decision support systems and dedicated personnel to monitor and triage incoming information effectively (Butler et al., 2019).

### Social Work and Psychosocial Support via Digital Platforms

The psychosocial burden of a chronic pediatric condition is immense, affecting mental health, family dynamics, and social functioning. Social workers play a critical role in addressing these needs. Digital platforms enable remote psychosocial support through video counseling, secure messaging, and connection to online peer support groups (Devendorf et al., 2022). This modality reduces stigma and improves access to mental health services, which are often in short supply. For adolescents, digital communication may feel more natural and less intimidating than face-to-face sessions, potentially increasing engagement (Ali et al., 2023).

Opportunities include the ability to provide support in real-time during moments of crisis at home and to connect geographically dispersed families facing rare conditions. Vulnerabilities are significant, however. The therapeutic alliance may be harder to build virtually, and social workers cannot fully assess the home environment or non-verbal cues crucial for risk assessment (e.g., for child welfare concerns) (Tremain et al., 2020). Confidentiality can be compromised if sessions are conducted in non-private home settings. Furthermore, digital platforms must comply with stringent regulations for mental health data, adding layers of complexity to technology selection and implementation (Hilty et al., 2021). Table 1 summarizes the opportunities and vulnerabilities of specific TRM modalities in pediatric chronic care.

**Table 1: Opportunities and Vulnerabilities of Specific TRM Modalities in Pediatric Chronic Care**

TRM Modality	Key Opportunities	Key Vulnerabilities
<b>Tele-Dermatology</b>	High diagnostic concordance for many conditions; reduced travel/time burden; faster specialist access; serial monitoring capability.	Limited by image quality, lack of tactile examination, and potential for inequitable access to technology.
<b>Remote Nursing</b>	Enhances continuity and relational care; improves chronic disease self-management (e.g., diabetes, asthma); enables frequent, low-burden check-ins.	Limits of remote physical assessment; requires specific provider training in virtual care; risk of missed subtle cues.
<b>Virtual Physiotherapy</b>	Maintains rehab continuity; improves adherence to home programs; provides access to specialist therapists; empowers families.	Safety concerns in unsupervised settings; depends on caregiver ability/space; not suitable for all hands-on interventions.
<b>Home Lab Testing</b>	Minimizes distressing clinic visits; enables proactive, data-driven management; integrates data into EHR for trend analysis.	Risk of pre-analytical errors at home; requires robust logistics; potential for information overload for clinicians.
<b>Digital Social Work</b>	Improves access to mental health support; reduces stigma; facilitates peer connections; enables timely crisis intervention.	Challenges in building rapport and risk assessment virtually, confidentiality concerns in home settings, and regulatory complexity.

### Public Health Access Equity

The promise of TRM to democratize healthcare access is counterbalanced by its potential to exacerbate existing health disparities—a vulnerability of profound ethical importance. The

"digital divide" refers to inequities in access to the necessary technology (broadband internet, smartphones, computers) and digital literacy (Ramsetty & Adams, 2020). Children from low-income households, rural communities, and minority

racial/ethnic groups are disproportionately affected by this divide (Eberly et al., 2022). When TRM becomes a primary or preferred mode of care, these populations risk being left behind, leading to a two-tiered system: tech-enabled care for the privileged and degraded access for the underserved (Crawford & Serhal, 2020).

Factors such as limited data plans, unstable housing, and parental work constraints can all preclude effective participation in TRM (Vogels et al., 2020). Addressing this requires a public health and policy approach. Opportunities lie in initiatives like federal broadband expansion programs, providing loaner devices and hotspots to patients, designing low-bandwidth platform options, and offering multilingual technical support (Quinton et al., 2021). Equitable implementation must be a core design principle, not an afterthought, involving community engagement to understand and address local barriers (Brewer et al., 2020).

### Cybersecurity of Patient Data and IoT Medical Devices

As pediatric TRM expands, so does the attack surface for cyber threats. The cybersecurity of protected health information (PHI) and IoT medical devices constitutes a critical vulnerability with direct implications for patient safety and privacy. Pediatric data is particularly sensitive, with a lifespan of exposure extending decades (Keshta & Odeh, 2021). TRM platforms and associated EHRs are prime targets for ransomware attacks and data breaches, which can disrupt care and expose intimate family health details (Kruse et al., 2017). More alarmingly, IoT medical devices used for RPM—such as connected insulin pumps, continuous glucose

monitors, and ventilators—can have inherent security flaws. These devices may use outdated software, have weak authentication protocols, or transmit data without encryption, making them potentially vulnerable to hacking (Pycroft & Aziz, 2018).

A malicious actor could theoretically intercept or falsify data, leading to harmful clinical decisions, or even gain control of a device's function, posing a direct physical threat (O'Brien et al., 2021). The opportunity lies in advancing "security by design" in medical device manufacturing, implementing robust network security protocols in healthcare institutions, and fostering a culture of cybersecurity awareness among clinicians and families (Williams & Woodward, 2015). Regulatory bodies like the FDA are increasingly emphasizing cybersecurity in pre-market submissions, but the legacy device problem remains substantial (Yuan et al., 2018). Table 2 and Figure 1 illustrate the framework for mitigating cross-cutting vulnerabilities in pediatric TRM



**Figure 1: Opportunities and Vulnerabilities of Telehealth and Remote Monitoring in Pediatrics Synthesis and Future Directions**

**Table 2: Framework for Mitigating Cross-Cutting Vulnerabilities in Pediatric TRM**

Vulnerability Area	System-Level Strategies	Mitigation	Clinical/Operational Mitigation Strategies
<b>Access Equity (Digital Divide)</b>	1. Advocate for public policy supporting universal broadband. 2. Develop a low-bandwidth, accessible platform design. 3. Create sustainable funding for patient device/hotspot loaner programs.		1. Implement digital literacy screening and support. 2. Offer multiple modality options (phone, video). 3. Employ patient navigators to assist with access.
<b>Cybersecurity &amp; Data Privacy</b>	1. Enforce "security by design" in device and platform procurement. 2. Conduct regular security risk assessments and audits. 3. Advocate for stronger regulatory standards for IoT medical devices.		1. Provide mandatory cybersecurity training for all staff. 2. Develop clear patient/family guidelines for secure use. 3. Establish incident response plans for data breaches.
<b>Clinical Integration &amp; Safety</b>	1. Develop standardized clinical guidelines for TRM use. 2. Ensure EHR integration and workflow redesign. 3. Establish equitable and sustainable reimbursement models.		1. Train clinicians in virtual exam techniques and safety protocols. 2. Define clear "red flag" criteria for in-person escalation. 3. Designate staff for monitoring and triaging asynchronous data.

The current review demonstrates that TRM is not merely a temporary substitute but a valuable,

permanent addition to the pediatric chronic care arsenal. Its opportunities are tangible: improved



access and convenience, enhanced patient/family engagement, more continuous data for clinical decision-making, and potential cost savings for systems and families. The specific applications reviewed—from dermatology to social work—each show unique benefits and challenges. However, the review consistently highlights that the ultimate success and ethical deployment of TRM depend on confronting its vulnerabilities with the same vigor used to promote its adoption. The digital divide and cybersecurity are not peripheral technical issues; they are central to justice and safety in digital health.

Future directions must focus on integrating TRM seamlessly into hybrid care models, where virtual and in-person visits are strategically scheduled based on clinical need (Leyser et al., 2021). Research should shift from studies to implementation science, exploring sustainable business models, optimal workflow integration, and effective training for providers (Gagnon et al., 2016). Policy advocacy is crucial to secure permanent, equitable reimbursement for TRM services from public and private payers (Schofield, 2021). Finally, pediatric healthcare organizations must invest in robust IT infrastructure, cybersecurity expertise, and patient navigation services to ensure that TRM benefits all children equitably and safely.

### Conclusion

Telehealth and remote monitoring represent a paradigm shift in the care of children with chronic conditions. This narrative review affirms their significant potential to improve healthcare delivery across multiple domains, offering flexibility, enhancing continuity, and empowering families. Yet, this technological advancement brings with it profound responsibilities. The vulnerabilities of entrenched health inequities and evolving cybersecurity threats pose real risks that could undermine the benefits of TRM and cause harm.

Therefore, the path forward requires a balanced, vigilant, and equity-focused approach. Clinicians, technologists, policymakers, and researchers must collaborate to build TRM systems that are not only clinically effective and convenient but also fundamentally secure, accessible, and designed to prioritize the well-being of the most vulnerable pediatric patients. The goal must be to harness technology not to create a new digital frontier of disparity, but to fulfill the enduring promise of pediatric care: to provide every child, regardless of circumstance, with the foundation for a healthier future.

### References

1. Ali, A. Z., Wright, B., Curran, J. A., & Newton, A. S. (2023). Patient engagement in child, adolescent, and youth mental health care research—a scoping review. *Child and adolescent mental health*, 28(4), 524-535. <https://doi.org/10.1111/camh.12615>
2. Barber, C. E., Levy, D. M., Ahluwalia, V., Mendel, A., Taylor-Gjevne, R., Gerschman, T., ... & Ohata, B. (2022). Best practices for virtual care: a consensus statement from the Canadian Rheumatology Association. *The Journal of Rheumatology*, 49(4), 408-418. <https://doi.org/10.3899/jrheum.211017>
3. Bitsko, R. H. (2022). Mental health surveillance among children—United States, 2013–2019. *MMWR supplements*, 71. <http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.su7102a1>
4. Brewer, L. C., Fortuna, K. L., Jones, C., Walker, R., Hayes, S. N., Patten, C. A., & Cooper, L. A. (2020). Back to the future: achieving health equity through health informatics and digital health. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*, 8(1), e14512. <https://doi.org/10.2196/14512>
5. Bui, C., Doutre, M. S., Taieb, A., Beylot-Barry, M., Joseph, J. P., & Dorizy-Vuong, V. (2021). Accuracy of Store-and-Forward Teledermatology for the Diagnosis of Skin Cancer: The Nouvelle-Aquitaine Experience. *Iproceedings*, 7(1), e35404. <https://doi.org/10.2196/35404>
6. Butler, L., Whitfill, T., Wong, A. H., Gawel, M., Crispino, L., & Auerbach, M. (2019). The impact of telemedicine on teamwork and workload in pediatric resuscitation: a simulation-based, randomized controlled study. *Telemedicine and e-Health*, 25(3), 205-212. <https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2018.0017>
7. Carlos, M. L. A., Arteaga, J. M., Zavala, A. E. M., & Torres, G. C. L. (2023). Challenges of tele-rehabilitation in children with disabilities in initial education. *Campus Virtuales*, 12(1), 133-144. <https://doi.org/10.54988/cv.2023.1.1136>
8. Crawford, A., & Serhal, E. (2020). Digital health equity and COVID-19: the innovation curve cannot reinforce the social gradient of health. *Journal of medical Internet research*, 22(6), e19361. <https://doi.org/10.2196/19361>
9. Curfman, A., McSwain, S. D., Chuo, J., Yeager-McSwain, B., Schinasi, D. A., Marcin, J., ... & Olson, C. A. (2021). Pediatric telehealth in the COVID-19 pandemic era and beyond. *Pediatrics*, 148(3). <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2020-047795>
10. Devendorf, A. R., Rum, R., Kashdan, T. B., & Rottenberg, J. (2022). Optimal well-being after psychopathology: Prevalence and correlates. *Clinical Psychological Science*, 10(5), 981-996. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21677026221078872>

11. Eberly, L. A., Kallan, M. J., Julien, H. M., Haynes, N., Khatana, S. A. M., Nathan, A. S., ... & Adusumalli, S. (2020). Patient characteristics associated with telemedicine access for primary and specialty ambulatory care during the COVID-19 pandemic. *JAMA network open*, 3(12), e2031640-e2031640. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.31640
12. Finnane, A., Dallest, K., Janda, M., & Soyer, H. P. (2017). Tele dermatology for the diagnosis and management of skin cancer: a systematic review. *JAMA dermatology*, 153(3), 319-327. doi:10.1001/jamadermatol.2016.4361
13. Gagnon, M. P., Ngangue, P., Payne-Gagnon, J., & Desmartis, M. (2016). m-Health adoption by healthcare professionals: a systematic review. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 23(1), 212-220. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocv052>
14. Havele, S. A., Fathy, R., McMahon, P., & Murthy, A. S. (2022). Pediatric tele dermatology: a retrospective review of 1199 encounters during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, 87(3), 678-680. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2021.11.038>
15. Hilty, D. M., Armstrong, C. M., Edwards-Stewart, A., Gentry, M. T., Luxton, D. D., & Krupinski, E. A. (2021). Sensor, wearable, and remote patient monitoring competencies for clinical care and training: scoping review. *Journal of technology in behavioral science*, 6(2), 252-277. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41347-020-00190-3>
16. Holden, R. J., Abebe, E., Hill, J. R., Brown, J., Savoy, A., Volda, S., ... & Kulanthaivel, A. (2022). Human factors engineering and human-computer interaction: Supporting user performance and experience. *Clinical informatics study guide: text and review*, 119-132. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-93765-2\\_9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-93765-2_9)
17. Jacquemard, T., Doherty, C. P., & Fitzsimons, M. B. (2021). The anatomy of electronic patient record ethics: a framework to guide design, development, implementation, and use. *BMC Medical Ethics*, 22(1), 9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12910-021-00574-x>
18. Kamat, S., Chennareddy, S., D'Ovidio, T., Han, J., Encarnacion, M. R. N. F., Yang, C., & Silverberg, N. (2023). Disparities in the use of tele dermatology during the COVID-19 pandemic lockdown in a pediatric dermatology practice. *Telemedicine and e-Health*, 29(5), 744-750. <https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2022.0221>
19. Kersh, E. N., Shukla, M., Raphael, B. H., Habel, M., & Park, I. (2021). At-home specimen self-collection and self-testing for sexually transmitted infection screening demand accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic: a review of laboratory implementation issues. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 59(11), 10-1128. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.02646-20>
20. Keshta, I., & Odeh, A. (2021). Security and privacy of electronic health records: Concerns and challenges. *Egyptian Informatics Journal*, 22(2), 177-183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eij.2020.07.003>
21. Kim, M. J., Kang, H. S., & De Gagne, J. C. (2021). Nursing students' perceptions and experiences of using virtual simulation during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Clinical Simulation in Nursing*, 60, 11-17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecns.2021.06.010>
22. Kruse, C. S., Frederick, B., Jacobson, T., & Monticone, D. K. (2017). Cybersecurity in healthcare: A systematic review of modern threats and trends. *Technology and Health Care*, 25(1), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.3233/THC-161263>
23. Larsen, M. E., Huckvale, K., Nicholas, J., Torous, J., Birrell, L., Li, E., & Reda, B. (2019). Using science to sell apps: evaluation of mental health app store quality claims. *NPJ digital medicine*, 2(1), 18. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-019-0093-1>
24. Leo, D. G., Buckley, B. J., Chowdhury, M., Harrison, S. L., Isanejad, M., Lip, G. Y., ... & TAILOR investigators. (2022). Interactive remote patient monitoring devices for managing chronic health conditions: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 24(11), e35508. <https://doi.org/10.2196/35508>
25. Leyser, M., Schieltz, K., Strathearn, L., Cooper-Brown, L., McBrien, D., & O'Brien, M. (2021). Telehealth in the field of developmental-behavioral pediatrics: advantages, challenges, and future perspectives. *Journal of Developmental & Behavioral Pediatrics*, 42(3), 240-244. DOI: 10.1097/DBP.0000000000000920
26. Liberman, A., & Barnard-Kelly, K. (2020). Diabetes Technologies and the Human Factor. *Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics*, 22(S1), S-130. <https://doi.org/10.1089/dia.2020.2510>
27. Maddukuri, S., Patel, J., & Lipoff, J. B. (2021). Tele dermatology addressing disparities in health care access: a review. *Current dermatology reports*, 10(2), 40-47. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13671-021-00329-2>

28. Minghelli, B., Soares, A., Guerreiro, A., Ribeiro, A., Cabrita, C., Vitoria, C., ... & Antunes, R. (2020). Physiotherapy services in the face of a pandemic. *Revista da Associação Médica Brasileira*, 66(4), 491-497.
29. Moreno, L., & Peck, J. L. (2020). Nurse practitioner-led telehealth to improve outpatient pediatric tracheostomy management in South Texas. *Journal of Pediatric Health Care*, 34(3), 246-255. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedhc.2019.11.008>
30. O'Brien, N., Ghafur, S., & Durkin, M. (2021). Cybersecurity in health is an urgent patient safety concern: we can learn from existing patient safety improvement strategies to address it. *Journal of Patient Safety and Risk Management*, 26(1), 5-10. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2516043520975926>
31. Portnoy, J. M., Pandya, A., Waller, M., & Elliott, T. (2020). Telemedicine and emerging technologies for health care in allergy/immunology. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, 145(2), 445-454. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2019.12.903>
32. Pycroft, L., & Aziz, T. Z. (2018). Security of implantable medical devices with wireless connections: The dangers of cyber-attacks. *Expert Review of Medical Devices*, 15(6), 403-406. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17434440.2018.1483235>
33. Quinton, J. K., Ong, M. K., Vangala, S., Tetleton-Burns, A., Webb, A., Sarkisian, C., ... & Pirtle, C. J. (2021). The association of broadband internet access and telemedicine utilization in rural western Tennessee: an observational study. *BMC Health Services Research*, 21(1), 765. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-021-06746-0>
34. Ramsetty, A., & Adams, C. (2020). Impact of the digital divide in the age of COVID-19. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 27(7), 1147-1148. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocaa078>
35. Rutledge, C. M., Kott, K., Schweickert, P. A., Poston, R., Fowler, C., & Haney, T. S. (2017). Telehealth and eHealth in nurse practitioner training: current perspectives. *Advances in medical education and practice*, 399-409. <https://doi.org/10.2147/AMEP.S116071>
36. Schofield, M. (2021). Regulatory and legislative issues on telehealth. *Nutrition in Clinical Practice*, 36(4), 729-738. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ncp.10740>
37. Seron, P., Oliveros, M. J., Gutierrez-Arias, R., Fuentes-Aspe, R., Torres-Castro, R. C., Merino-Osorio, C., ... & Sanchez, P. (2021). Effectiveness of telerehabilitation in physical therapy: a rapid overview. *Physical therapy*, 101(6), pzab053. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ptj/pzab053>
38. Sharma, A., Stephenson, R., Sallabank, G., Merrill, L., Sullivan, S., & Gandhi, M. (2019). Acceptability and feasibility of self-collecting biological specimens for HIV, sexually transmitted infection, and adherence testing among high-risk populations (Project Caboodle!): protocol for an exploratory mixed-methods study. *JMIR Research Protocols*, 8(5), e13647. <https://doi.org/10.2196/13647>
39. Tremain, H., McEnery, C., Fletcher, K., & Murray, G. (2020). The therapeutic alliance in digital mental health interventions for serious mental illnesses: narrative review. *JMIR mental health*, 7(8), e17204. <https://doi.org/10.2196/17204>
40. Trinidad, J., Kroshinsky, D., Kaffenberger, B. H., & Rojek, N. W. (2020). Telemedicine for inpatient dermatology consultations in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, 83(1), e69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2020.04.096>
41. Vogels, E. A., Perrin, A., Rainie, L., & Anderson, M. (2020). 53% of Americans say the internet has been essential during the COVID-19 outbreak. *Pew Research Center*, 30.
42. Williams, P. A., & Woodward, A. J. (2015). Cybersecurity vulnerabilities in medical devices: a complex environment and multifaceted problem. *Medical Devices: Evidence and Research*, 305-316. <https://doi.org/10.2147/MDER.S50048>
43. Yuan, S., Fernando, A., & Klonoff, D. C. (2018). Standards for medical device cybersecurity in 2018. *Journal of diabetes science and technology*, 12(4), 743-746. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1932296818763634>