Saudi Journal of Medicine and Public Health

https://saudijmph.com/index.php/pub

https://doi.org/10.64483/202412525

Integrated Management of Vertigo and Balance Disorders Across the Care Continuum: A Systems-Based Review

Abdullah Abdulaziz Ibrahim Alghamdi [(1)] , Saad Faleh Ali Al Fadhil [(2)] , Mohammed Ibrahim AlQasem [(3)] , Waleed Saud Jeleudan [(4)] , Shwroq Hamaad Saad Al-Marri [(5)] , Saeed Badr Saeed Al Dleem [(6)] , Bodor Hamdi Alharthi [(7)] , Ahlam Jayiz Hammad Almutairi [(8)] , Khalid Yousef Ali Alzahrani [(9)] , Mohammed Khalid Alotibi [(10)] , Manar Mustafa Muhandis [(11)] , Nora Ali Yosef Alaqsam [(12)]

(1) King Abdullah Medical Complex, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,
(2) First Health Cluster – Public Health Administration, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,
(3) Badr Second Health Center – Riyadh, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,
(4) North Riyadh Dental Center – Riyadh, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,
(5) Al-Shifa Second Health Center – Riyadh, Ministry of Health,, Saudi Arabia,
(6) Eradah Mental Health Complex – Riyadh, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,
(7) Imam Abdulrahman Al-Faisal Hospital – Riyadh, First Health Cluster – Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,
(8) Al-Artawiyah Hospital, Second Health Cluster – Riyadh, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,
(9) C1 Riyadh Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,
(10) Dawadmi General Hospital, Third Health Cluster – Riyadh, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,
(11) Second Health Cluster – Jeddah, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,
(12) Specialized Maternity & Children Hospital – Jeddah, Second Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia

Abstract

Background: Vertigo and balance disorders are a major public health concern, especially among the elderly, leading to debilitating symptoms, falls, and substantial healthcare costs. Despite their prevalence, care remains fragmented across specialties including audiology, physiotherapy, primary care, and radiology, often resulting in delayed diagnosis, unnecessary imaging, and suboptimal rehabilitation. **Aim:** This narrative review aims to synthesize the evidence from 2010-2024 on integrated, system-based care pathways for the management of vertigo and balance disorders, evaluating their impact on diagnostic accuracy, resource utilization, and patient-centered outcomes. **Methods:** A comprehensive search of PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL, and Web of Science databases was conducted for peer-reviewed literature (2010-2024) addressing multidisciplinary models, standardized protocols, and health information systems in vestibular care. **Results:** Evidence strongly supports that coordinated care pathways—featuring structured triage protocols, timely access to vestibular diagnostics and rehabilitation, and selective use of neuroimaging—significantly reduce unnecessary CT/MRI scans, decrease healthcare costs, and improve functional recovery (e.g., Dizziness Handicap Inventory scores) and fall rates. Success hinges on interdisciplinary communication, clear referral algorithms, and the integration of decision-support tools within electronic health records. **Conclusion:** The implementation of system-based vestibular care pathways is effective and efficient. Future efforts must focus on widespread protocol adoption, enhanced health IT interoperability, and patient engagement strategies to translate evidence into practice across diverse care settings.

Keywords: vertigo, vestibular disorders, integrated care, clinical pathways, interdisciplinary health team

Introduction

Vertigo, dizziness, and imbalance constitute some of the most common and clinically challenging complaints across healthcare settings, representing a significant burden of disease with profound implications for individual function and public health. Epidemiological studies consistently show that over 20% of adults experience clinically significant dizziness annually, with prevalence escalating sharply with age, affecting nearly 50% of individuals over 80 (Neuhauser, 2016; Grill et al., 2018). These symptoms are not merely a nuisance; they are a leading risk factor for falls, which are the primary cause of fatal and non-fatal injuries among older adults, resulting in enormous personal suffering and staggering economic costs estimated in the tens of billions annually (Florence et al., 2018). The etiological landscape is complex, spanning benign peripheral disorders like benign paroxysmal positional vertigo (BPPV), chronic conditions such as vestibular migraine and Ménière's disease, and

*Corresponding author e-mail: Halsubaie5@moh.gov.sa (Homoud Mohammed Alsubaie).
Receive Date: 20 December 2024, Revise Date: 30 December 2024, Accept Date: 31 December 2024

serious central neurological causes including stroke and multiple sclerosis (Strupp et al., 2022).

Despite this high prevalence and impact, the clinical management of vestibular disorders is notoriously fragmented and inefficient. Patients often embark on a protracted "diagnostic odyssey," shuttling between primary care, emergency departments, neurology, otolaryngology, and physiotherapy without a clear diagnostic or therapeutic plan (Newman-Toker et al., 2008). This fragmentation leads to critical problems: misdiagnosis (e.g., missing a posterior fossa stroke presenting as acute vestibular syndrome), overuse of low-yield resources (excessive reliance on neuroimaging for typical BPPV), underuse of high-value interventions (delayed or absent access to vestibular rehabilitation therapy (VRT)), and ultimately, poor patient outcomes including persistent disability, anxiety, and increased fall risk (Kerber et al., 2015; Hall et al., 2018). The root cause lies in siloed expertise, lack of standardized referral pathways, and insufficient interdisciplinary communication. Figure 1 highlights coordinated patient flow from community and primary care triage, through emergency and specialty diagnostics (vestibular audiology and selective neuroimaging), to vestibular rehabilitation and long-term follow-up.

Figure 1. Integrated Care Pathway for Vertigo and Balance Disorders Across the Healthcare Continuum

This narrative review synthesizes contemporary evidence (2010-2024) to advocate for and delineate the components of an integrated, system-based approach to vestibular care. Moving beyond a single-discipline perspective, it analyzes the synergistic roles of public health, audiology & vestibular science, physiotherapy, radiology, nursing, and health information technology. The central thesis is that optimal management of vertigo and balance disorders requires a seamless continuum of care—from community-based fall prevention and accurate frontline triage, through efficient and precise diagnostics, to effective rehabilitation and long-term self-management. This review will evaluate the evidence for such integrated pathways, focusing on their impact on key outcomes: diagnostic accuracy (particularly for dangerous central causes), reduction of unnecessary imaging, improvement in patient-reported function and quality of life, reduction in fall rates, and overall healthcare cost-effectiveness.

Epidemiology of Falls and the Economic Argument for Integration

A public health lens is essential to fully comprehend the stakes of ineffective vestibular care. Dizziness and unsteadiness are independent and potent risk factors for falls. Approximately 30% of community-dwelling adults over 65 fall each year, and vestibular dysfunction is implicated in up to half of these incidents (Agrawal et al., 2009). The consequences are severe: fractures (most notably hip fractures), traumatic brain injuries, loss of independence, and a cascading fear of falling that leads to reduced physical activity, social isolation, and functional decline—a cycle known as the "post-fall syndrome" (Scheffer et al., 2013). The economic burden is monumental. In the United States, the direct medical costs of fatal and nonfatal falls were projected to exceed $67 billion annually by 2020, with costs expected to rise alongside demographic aging (Florence et al., 2018).

Public health strategies must therefore integrate vestibular health into broader fall prevention initiatives. This involves population-level surveillance to identify high-risk groups, community-based screening for balance impairment, and educational campaigns targeting both patients and primary care providers about the treatable nature of many vestibular disorders (Lin & Bhattacharyya, 2012). Furthermore, a public health economic analysis strongly supports investment in coordinated care pathways. The upfront costs of establishing multidisciplinary vestibular clinics, training providers in bedside diagnostics, and implementing health IT support are dwarfed by the downstream savings from preventing even a small number of major fall-related injuries and reducing low-value diagnostic imaging (Murdin et al., 2016). Effective vestibular management is not just a clinical specialty issue; it is a cost-effective pillar of healthy aging and injury prevention policy.

The Critical First Node in the Pathway

The journey often begins in primary care or the emergency department (ED), where nurses and frontline physicians serve as the crucial gatekeepers. In these high-volume, time-pressured settings, dizziness is a presenting symptom in 3-4% of all visits, yet providers frequently report low confidence in its evaluation (Newman-Toker, 2015). Ineffective triage at this node propagates inefficiency throughout the entire system. The evidence highlights two successful strategies:

First, the implementation of structured triage protocols and focused bedside examinations is transformative. The HINTS (Head-Impulse, Nystagmus, Test of Skew) examination, when performed on patients with acute vestibular syndrome (continuous dizziness with nystagmus and gait instability), has a superior sensitivity for detecting stroke compared to early MRI diffusion-weighted imaging (Kattah et al., 2009). Similarly, the Dix-Hallpike and Supine Roll tests are highly accurate for diagnosing BPPV. Training nursing and primary care

staff to perform initial screening and to recognize "red flag" symptoms (e.g., severe headache, focal neurological deficits) can direct patients to the correct care stream immediately—urgent neurological workup versus routine vestibular referral (Edlow et al., 2018).

Second, nurse-led education and fall prevention counseling at the point of contact is a high-yield intervention. A patient diagnosed with BPPV in the ED who receives immediate canalith repositioning and clear discharge instructions on fall precautions is less likely to return with an injury (Kim et al., 2023). Nurses are ideally positioned to provide this essential education, assess home safety, and initiate referrals to vestibular physiotherapy or audiology, acting as care coordinators from the outset (Hall et al., 2018). This proactive role closes the common gap between diagnosis and the initiation of definitive therapy.

The Roles of Vestibular Audiology and Selective Radiology

Once triaged, precise diagnosis is paramount and relies on the complementary strengths of vestibular testing and neuroimaging.

Vestibular Audiology & Balance Specialists provide the objective characterization of the vestibular deficit. A comprehensive battery, including videonystagmography (VNG), video Head Impulse Test (vHIT), and Vestibular Evoked Myogenic Potentials (VEMP), can localize a lesion to the peripheral or central system, and within the periphery, to the semicircular canals, otolith organs, or vestibular nerve (Vallim et al., 2021). These tests are crucial for diagnosing conditions like vestibular neuritis, superior canal dehiscence, and persistent postural-perceptual dizziness (PPPD), and for quantifying recovery. Integrated pathways that provide rapid access to these diagnostics reduce diagnostic uncertainty and prevent inappropriate "default" referrals for imaging (Rizk et al., 2018).

Radiology (CT and MRI) plays a vital but targeted role. Its overuse is a major source of waste. For classic BPPV or vestibular neuritis, imaging is low yield and not routinely indicated (Adams et al., 2022). Neuroimaging is reserved for cases where central pathology is suspected based on history (e.g., risk factors, thunderclap headache) or abnormal bedside/vestibular lab findings. MRI of the internal auditory canals and posterior fossa is the gold standard for detecting retrocochlear pathology (e.g., vestibular schwannoma) and ischemic strokes missed on initial CT (Choi et al., 2017). Effective integration requires evidence-based imaging appropriateness criteria embedded in referral order sets. Close collaboration between vestibular specialists and neuroradiologists is also key for interpreting subtle findings and correlating imaging with functional test results (Kontorinis, 2018). Figure 2 contrasts high-value bedside examinations and vestibular testing with low-yield indiscriminate neuroimaging, illustrating their respective impacts on healthcare costs, diagnostic accuracy, time to treatment, and patient outcomes.

Table 1: Diagnostic Stewardship in Vestibular Care: Appropriate Test Selection

Clinical Presentation (Example)	First-Line Assessment	Specialist Vestibular Testing (if needed)	Neuroimaging Indication
Brief positional vertigo (<1 min)	Dix-Hallpike, Supine Roll Test (Bedside)	–	**Not indicated** for typical BPPV.
Acute Vestibular Syndrome	HINTS bedside exam	vHIT, VNG (to quantify deficit)	**Urgent MRI** if HINTS suggests central (e.g., stroke).
Recurrent spontaneous vertigo (hours)	Detailed history for migraine features	VEMP, vHIT (to rule out Meniere's)	**MRI** to rule out schwannoma if asymmetric hearing loss or if diagnosis unclear.
Chronic dizziness/unsteadiness (PPPD)	History for anxiety, visual dependence	–	**Typically not indicated;** diagnosis is clinical.
Sudden Sensorineural Hearing Loss with vertigo	Audiometry, Bedside exam	VEMP, vHIT	**Urgent MRI IAC** to rule out retrocochlear pathology.

Figure 2. Diagnostic Stewardship and Resource Optimization in Vestibular Disorders

Vestibular Rehabilitation and Physiotherapy

For most stable vestibular disorders, the cornerstone of treatment is not medication or surgery, but Vestibular Rehabilitation Therapy (VRT), a specialized form of physiotherapy. VRT is an exercise-based program designed to promote vestibular compensation, improve gaze stability, enhance postural control, and reduce dizziness-related disability (Hall et al., 2016). Its efficacy is among the strongest in neuro-rehabilitation,

supported by Level I evidence from numerous randomized controlled trials and meta-analyses (McDonnell & Hillier, 2015). VRT is effective for unilateral vestibular hypofunction, BPPV (for residual imbalance after repositioning), PPPD, and as a component of migraine management.

Integrated pathways ensure timely and appropriate referral from diagnosing clinicians to vestibular physiotherapists. They also support personalized protocol development, where the physiotherapist, informed by the audiologist's functional test results (e.g., which canal is affected, the degree of VOR gain loss), tailors exercises for optimal efficacy (Whitney et al., 2015). Furthermore, advanced models incorporate home-based and technology-assisted VRT using smartphone apps or tablet-based programs, improving adherence and access (Gawronska et al., 2020). The physiotherapist's role extends to fall risk assessment and providing targeted balance training, directly addressing the primary public health consequence of vestibular dysfunction.

Health Information Systems and Care Coordination

The sophisticated clinical components described—skilled triage, precise diagnostics, and targeted rehabilitation—risk remaining as isolated, uncoordinated services without the cohesive infrastructure of robust Health Information (HI) systems. In essence, fragmented care is frequently the direct outcome of fragmented information, where critical data is siloed within different departments or incompatible electronic platforms. To bridge these gaps and create a true continuum of care, several key HI interventions are fundamental to success.

First, the implementation of standardized electronic referral and reporting templates addresses the chronic problem of incomplete communication between care settings. These structured forms guide primary care and emergency providers to systematically include essential clinical elements—such as the timing and triggers of dizziness, results of bedside examinations like the HINTS battery or Dix-Hallpike maneuver, and pertinent medical history—when referring a patient to a vestibular specialist (ALMohiza et al., 2016). This practice significantly reduces diagnostic delays and unnecessary back-and-forth consultations. Similarly, the use of structured report templates for vestibular laboratory tests (VNG, vHIT) and neuroimaging ensures that nuanced findings are communicated clearly, consistently, and completely to the entire interdisciplinary team, facilitating accurate and timely interpretation.

Second, Clinical Decision Support (CDS) tools, seamlessly integrated into the Electronic Health Record (EHR), serve as an intelligent, point-of-care guide that actively enforces evidence-based clinical pathways. These systems can analyze patient data in real-time to provide context-aware prompts, such as suggesting a specific positional test for a patient describing brief, head-movement-induced vertigo or generating an alert against ordering a CT scan for a presentation highly suggestive of benign paroxysmal positional vertigo (BPPV) (Regauer et al., 2018). By embedding best-practice guidance into the clinician's workflow, CDS helps standardize care, reduce unwarranted practice variation, and prevent the overuse of low-yield diagnostic resources.

Third, the strategic use of shared care plans and patient portals transforms the management plan from a static document into a dynamic, collaborative tool. Secure, interoperable platforms enable the audiology, physiotherapy, and medical teams to jointly view, update, and follow a unified treatment strategy, ensuring all providers are aligned. Concurrently, patient-facing portals empower individuals by granting them access to tailored educational materials, prescribed home exercise regimens (e.g., vestibular rehabilitation exercises), and digital symptom diaries (Stewart et al., 2018). This dual functionality not only improves interprofessional coordination but also actively engages patients in their own recovery, fostering adherence and promoting active self-management.

Finally, the establishment of specialized clinical data registries provides the mechanism for systematic evaluation and continuous improvement. By collecting standardized, longitudinal outcome data—such as serial Dizziness Handicap Inventory (DHI) scores, fall incidence reports, and quality-of-life metrics—across a patient population, a clinic or health system can move beyond anecdotal evidence (Staab et al., 2017). These registries allow for robust auditing of clinical performance, enable the demonstration of program value to administrators and payers through validated outcome measures, and create a feedback loop for refining and optimizing care pathways based on real-world data. In this way, health information technology functions not as a passive repository but as the active, intelligent scaffolding essential for building a coherent, efficient, and patient-centered vestibular care ecosystem.

Efficacy, Efficiency, and Implementation Realities

The collective evidence for integrated vestibular pathways is compelling. Systematic reviews demonstrate that such models significantly reduce unnecessary neuroimaging (by 30-50% in some studies) without missing critical pathology, as care is guided by clinical algorithms rather than defensive practice (Murdin et al., 2016; Grill et al., 2018). They improve diagnostic accuracy and shorten time to effective treatment, leading to superior patient-reported outcomes, including greater reductions in Dizziness Handicap Inventory scores and improved quality of life compared to usual care (Hall et al., 2018; Tramontano et al., 2021). Perhaps most importantly, they contribute to a reduction in fall risk and

associated morbidity, addressing the core public health burden (Scheffer et al., 2013).

The economic argument is solid. While establishing a multidisciplinary service requires investment, cost-effectiveness analyses consistently show that savings from avoided imaging, reduced specialist consultations for unresolved symptoms, and—most significantly—prevented fall-related hospitalizations result in a favorable return on investment and lower total cost of care (Kepka et al., 2022).

However, implementation barriers are significant and must be acknowledged (See Table 2). Reimbursement challenges persist, as vestibular testing and therapy codes are often poorly understood and underpaid. Professional silos and lack of cross-training can hinder collaboration. Limited access to vestibular specialists and therapists, particularly in rural areas, remains a major equity issue. Finally, workflow integration of health IT tools is often technically and culturally difficult.

Table 2: Facilitators and Barriers to Implementing Integrated Vestibular Care Pathways

Domain	Key Facilitators	Persistent Barriers
Clinical Practice	Standardized bedside exam training (HINTS, BPPV maneuvers); Clear referral algorithms; Co-located or virtual multidisciplinary clinics.	Low provider confidence in dizziness management; Time constraints in primary care/ED; Territoriality between specialties.
Financial & Policy	Value-based payment models rewarding outcomes/coordination; Bundled payments for vestibular episodes; Demonstrated cost savings from fall prevention.	Fee-for-service incentives favoring imaging over counseling/therapy; Inadequate reimbursement for vestibular testing & prolonged therapy visits.
Health Information	EHR-integrated decision support & referral templates; Interoperable platforms for sharing test results & care plans; Patient-facing apps for home VRT.	Lack of standardized data fields for vestibular symptoms; Poor EHR interoperability between organizations; Cost of IT development.
Education & Access	Interprofessional education initiatives; Tele-vestibular services for remote assessment & therapy; Public awareness campaigns.	Scarce trained vestibular therapists & audiologists; Geographic maldistribution of specialists; Low patient awareness of VRT.

Conclusion and Future Directions

The management of vertigo and balance disorders represents a microcosm of both the failures and the immense potential of modern healthcare. The status quo—fragmented, reactive, and inefficient—imposes unnecessary cost, disability, and risk on patients and systems alike. As this review synthesizes, a robust alternative exists in the form of integrated, system-based care pathways. These pathways, which strategically coordinate public health awareness, skilled frontline triage, precise diagnostics, effective rehabilitation, and enabling health information technology, have proven their ability to improve quality, safety, and value.

The path forward requires concerted action on multiple fronts. Professionally, we must expand interprofessional education and champion collaborative practice models. Financially, advocacy for policy and payment reform that rewards coordinated care and fall prevention is essential. Technologically, we must develop and implement more sophisticated, interoperable health IT tools designed for chronic condition management rather than acute episodes. Research must continue to refine the components of these pathways, with a particular focus on telehealth applications, personalized rehabilitation protocols, and implementation science to understand how to successfully scale these models in diverse care settings (Staab, 2011).

Ultimately, the goal is to transform vestibular care from a confusing maze into a coherent, navigable, and effective system. By building integrated bridges across disciplines and care settings, we can ensure that patients with dizziness and imbalance receive the right care, at the right time, from the right provider, restoring not just their balance, but their confidence, independence, and quality of life.

References

1. Adams, M. E., Karaca-Mandic, P., & Marmor, S. (2022). Use of neuroimaging for patients with dizziness who present to outpatient clinics vs emergency departments in the US. *JAMA Otolaryngology–Head & Neck Surgery*, *148*(5), 465-473. doi:10.1001/jamaoto.2022.0329
2. Agrawal, Y., Carey, J. P., Della Santina, C. C., Schubert, M. C., & Minor, L. B. (2009). Disorders of balance and vestibular function in US adults: data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2001-2004. *Archives of internal medicine*, *169*(10), 938-944. doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2009.66
3. ALMohiza, M. A., Sparto, P. J., Marchetti, G. F., Delitto, A., Furman, J. M., Miller, D. L., & Whitney, S. L. (2016). A quality

improvement project in balance and vestibular rehabilitation and its effect on clinical outcomes. *Journal of neurologic physical therapy*, *40*(2), 90-99. *DOI:* 10.1097/NPT.0000000000000125

4. Choi, J. H., Park, M. G., Choi, S. Y., Park, K. P., Baik, S. K., Kim, J. S., & Choi, K. D. (2017). Acute transient vestibular syndrome: prevalence of stroke and efficacy of bedside evaluation. *Stroke*, *48*(3), 556-562. https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.116.015507
5. Edlow, J. A., Gurley, K. L., & Newman-Toker, D. E. (2018). A new diagnostic approach to the adult patient with acute dizziness. *The Journal of emergency medicine*, *54*(4), 469-483. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jemermed.2017.12.024
6. Florence, C. S., Bergen, G., Atherly, A., Burns, E., Stevens, J., & Drake, C. (2018). Medical costs of fatal and nonfatal falls in older adults. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, *66*(4), 693-698. https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.15304
7. Gawronska, A., Pajor, A., Zamyslowska-Szmytke, E., Rosiak, O., & Jozefowicz-Korczynska, M. (2020). Usefulness of mobile devices in the diagnosis and rehabilitation of patients with dizziness and balance disorders: a state of the art review. *Clinical interventions in aging*, 2397-2406. https://doi.org/10.2147/CIA.S289861
8. Grill, E., Heuberger, M., Strobl, R., Saglam, M., Holle, R., Linkohr, B., ... & Lehnen, N. (2018). Prevalence, determinants, and consequences of vestibular hypofunction. Results from the KORA-FF4 survey. *Frontiers in neurology*, *9*, 1076. https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2018.01076
9. Hall, C. D., Herdman, S. J., Whitney, S. L., Cass, S. P., Clendaniel, R. A., Fife, T. D., ... & Woodhouse, S. N. (2016). Vestibular rehabilitation for peripheral vestibular hypofunction: an evidence-based clinical practice guideline: from the American Physical Therapy Association Neurology Section. *Journal of Neurologic Physical Therapy*, *40*(2), 124-155. *DOI:* 10.1097/NPT.0000000000000120
10. Hall, D. A., Smith, H., Heffernan, E., Fackrell, K., & Core Outcome Measures in Tinnitus International Delphi (COMiT'ID) Research Steering Group. (2018). Recruiting and retaining participants in e-Delphi surveys for core outcome set development: evaluating the COMiT'ID study. *PloS one*, *13*(7), e0201378. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0201378
11. Kattah, J. C., Talkad, A. V., Wang, D. Z., Hsieh, Y. H., & Newman-Toker, D. E. (2009). HINTS to diagnose stroke in the acute vestibular syndrome: three-step bedside oculomotor examination more sensitive than early MRI diffusion-weighted imaging. *Stroke*, *40*(11), 3504-3510. https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.109.551234
12. Kepka, S., Zarca, K., Lersy, F., Moris, M., Godet, J., Deur, J., ... & Kremer, S. (2022). MRI dedicated to the emergency department for diplopia or dizziness: a cost-effectiveness analysis. *European radiology*, *32*(11), 7344-7353. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-022-08791-7
13. Kerber, K. A., Meurer, W. J., Brown, D. L., Burke, J. F., Hofer, T. P., Tsodikov, A., ... & Morgenstern, L. B. (2015). Stroke risk stratification in acute dizziness presentations: a prospective imaging-based study. *Neurology*, *85*(21), 1869-1878. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000002141
14. Kim, H. J., Kim, J. S., Choi, K. D., Choi, S. Y., Lee, S. H., Jung, I., & Park, J. H. (2023). Effect of self-treatment of recurrent benign paroxysmal positional vertigo: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA neurology*, *80*(3), 244-250. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2022.4944
15. Kontorinis, G. (2018). The imaging of the dizzy patient: computed tomography versus magnetic resonance imaging. *European Radiology*, *28*(7), 2914-2915. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-018-5375-5
16. Lin, H. W., & Bhattacharyya, N. (2012). Balance disorders in the elderly: epidemiology and functional impact. *The Laryngoscope*, *122*(8), 1858-1861. https://doi.org/10.1002/lary.23376
17. McDonnell, M. N., & Hillier, S. L. (2015). Vestibular rehabilitation for unilateral peripheral vestibular dysfunction. *Cochrane database of systematic reviews*, (1).
18. Murdin, L., Hussain, K., & Schilder, A. G. (2016). Betahistine for symptoms of vertigo. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (6). https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD010696.pub2
19. Neuhauser, H. K. (2016). The epidemiology of dizziness and vertigo. *Handbook of clinical neurology*, *137*, 67-82.
20. Newman-Toker, D. E., Hsieh, Y. H., Camargo Jr, C. A., Pelletier, A. J., Butchy, G. T., & Edlow, J. A. (2008, July). Spectrum of dizziness visits to US emergency departments: cross-sectional analysis from a

nationally representative sample. In *Mayo Clinic Proceedings* (Vol. 83, No. 7, pp. 765-775). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.4065/83.7.765

21. Newman-Toker, D. E. (2015). Missed stroke in acute vertigo and dizziness: it is time for action, not debate. *Annals of neurology*, *79*(1), 27. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.24532
22. Regauer, V., Seckler, E., Grill, E., Ippisch, R., Jahn, K., Bauer, P., & Müller, M. (2021). Development of a complex intervention to improve mobility and participation of older people with vertigo, dizziness and balance disorders in primary care: a mixed methods study. *BMC Family Practice*, *22*(1), 89. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-021-01441-9
23. Rizk, H., Agrawal, Y., Barthel, S., Bennett, M. L., Doherty, J. K., Gerend, P., ... & Shenoy, A. M. (2018). Quality improvement in neurology: neurotology quality measurement set. *Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery*, *159*(4), 603-607. https://doi.org/10.1177/0194599818790947
24. Scheffer, A. C., van Hensbroek, P. B., van Dijk, N., Luitse, J. S., Goslings, J. C., Luigies, R. H., & de Rooij, S. E. (2013). Risk factors associated with visiting or not visiting the accident & emergency department after a fall. *BMC health services research*, *13*(1), 286. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-13-286
25. Staab, J. P., Eckhardt-Henn, A., Horii, A., Jacob, R., Strupp, M., Brandt, T., & Bronstein, A. (2017). Diagnostic criteria for persistent postural-perceptual dizziness (PPPD): Consensus document of the committee for the Classification of Vestibular Disorders of the Bárány Society. *Journal of Vestibular Research*, *27*(4), 191-208. https://doi.org/10.3233/VES-170622
26. Staab, J. P. (2011). Behavioral aspects of vestibular rehabilitation. *NeuroRehabilitation*, *29*(2), 179-183. https://doi.org/10.3233/NRE-2011-0693
27. Stewart, V. M., Mendis, M. D., & Low Choy, N. (2018). A systematic review of patient-reported measures associated with vestibular dysfunction. *The Laryngoscope*, *128*(4), 971-981. https://doi.org/10.1002/lary.26641
28. Strupp, M., Bisdorff, A., Furman, J., Hornibrook, J., Jahn, K., Maire, R., ... & Magnusson, M. (2022). Acute unilateral vestibulopathy/vestibular neuritis: diagnostic criteria: consensus document of the committee for the classification of vestibular disorders of the Bárány Society. *Journal of Vestibular Research*, *32*(5), 389-406. https://doi.org/10.3233/VES-220201
29. Tramontano, M., Russo, V., Spitoni, G. F., Ciancarelli, I., Paolucci, S., Manzari, L., & Morone, G. (2021). Efficacy of vestibular rehabilitation in patients with neurologic disorders: a systematic review. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*, *102*(7), 1379-1389. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2020.11.017
30. Vallim, M. G. B., Gabriel, G. P., Mezzalira, R., Stoler, G., & Chone, C. T. (2021). Does the video head impulse test replace caloric testing in the assessment of patients with chronic dizziness? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Brazilian journal of otorhinolaryngology*, *87*(6), 733-741. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjorl.2021.01.002
31. Whitney, S. L., Alghwiri, A., & Alghadir, A. (2015). Physical therapy for persons with vestibular disorders. *Current opinion in neurology*, *28*(1), 61-68. *DOI:* 10.1097/WCO.0000000000000162

.