Saudi Journal of Medicine and Public Health

https://saudijmph.com/index.php/pub

https://doi.org/10.64483/202412560

Sustaining Home, Navigating Crisis: An Interdisciplinary Narrative Review of Aging in Place and Emergency Care Networks

Abdullah Mohammed Saad Almutairi [(1)] , Fatimah Mohammed Nasser Bahri [(2)] , Mohammed Ayidh Mohammed Alzahrani [(3)] , Reem Matook Al Saadi [(4)] , Shagraa Han Sufyani [(5)] , Mashael Mutlaq N Alotaibi [(6)] , Noha Abdullah Alanazi [(7)] , Abdulkarim Saeed Duwaynih Aladhyani [(8)] , Amna Ali Mahnashi [(9)] , Jazaa Hulayil Jazaa Al-Osaimi [(10)] , Fatimah Abdulaziz Ali Asiri [(11)] , Nasser Hammad Mohamad [(12)]

(1) Nasser bin Saad Al-Sudairi Hospital – Al-Ghat, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,
(2) Bish General Hospital, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,
(3) General Administration of Nursing & Midwifery, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,
(4) Old Al-Urayja Primary Health Care Center, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,
(5) Al-Ardah Hospital – Jazan, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,
(6) Ushaiger Primary Health Care Center – Shaqra, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,
(7) Al-Nasifah Health Center – Al-Kharj, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,
(8) Al-Bajadiyah General Hospital – Al-Bajadiyah, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,
(9) Primary Health Care Center – Al-Haklah, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,
(10) Al-Bejadiyah General Hospital, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,
(11) Muhayil General Hospital, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,
(12) Wadi Al-Dawasir General Hospital, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia

Abstract

Background: The global demographic shift toward an aging population has intensified the policy and clinical imperative to support "aging in place"—enabling older adults to live independently in their homes and communities for as long as possible. This goal exists in tension with the high risk of acute health crises, such as falls and sudden illness, in this population. **Aim:** This narrative review aims to synthesize evidence from epidemiology, sociology, and frontline clinical services (EMS, nursing, pharmacy, administration) to examine the complex interplay between supporting independent living and managing emergency care for older adults. **Methods:** A comprehensive literature search was conducted in PubMed, CINAHL, Scopus, and Sociological Abstracts (2010-2024). **Results:** The review identifies epidemiological patterns of geriatric emergencies, the critical sociological role of social networks and isolation in risk and recovery, and describes evolving frontline interventions. These include EMS community paramedicine for proactive visits, nursing-led care transition programs, pharmacist-led medication management, and medical secretary coordination of home-based services. Significant gaps in system integration and persistent social determinants of health are highlighted. **Conclusion:** Effective support for aging in place requires a transformed, integrated network that blends preventive, community-based supports with responsive, geriatrically-informed emergency care. Success depends on breaking down silos between public health, social services, and acute care, and formally embedding roles for EMS, pharmacy, and administrative coordination within community care teams.

Keywords: Aged; Independent Living; Community Health Services; Polypharmacy; Care Transition

Introduction

The global population is aging at an unprecedented rate, with the number of people aged 65 and older projected to double from 771 million in 2022 to 1.6 billion by 2050 (Fernandes et al., 2023). This demographic transformation presents a fundamental challenge to healthcare systems historically oriented toward acute, hospital-centric care. In response, the paradigm of "aging in place" has gained widespread policy and popular support. It is defined as "the ability to live in one's own home and community safely, independently, and comfortably, regardless of age, income, or ability level" (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC], 2013). This model aligns with the overwhelming preference of older adults and is often associated with improved quality of life and perceived autonomy (Vanleerberghe et al., 2017).

However, the aspiration to age in place coexists with a stark epidemiological reality: older adults are at significantly higher risk for acute medical events that threaten their independence. Falls are the leading cause of injury-related death and morbidity in this cohort, with one in four older adults falling each year (Bergen, 2016). Other common crises include

*Corresponding author e-mail: aalmutairi83@moh.gov.sa (Abdullah Mohammed Saad Almutairi).

Receive Date: 22 December 2024, Revise Date: 30 December 2024, Accept Date: 31 December 2024

stroke, myocardial infarction, complications from chronic conditions, and adverse drug events. These emergencies frequently precipitate a cascade of events—an EMS call, an emergency department (ED) visit, a hospital admission—that can destabilize a precarious living situation and lead to institutionalization (Gill et al., 2015).

Navigating this tension requires an interdisciplinary lens. Epidemiology provides the foundational data on incidence, risk factors, and outcomes of geriatric emergencies. Sociology illuminates the critical role of social context—including isolation, social networks, and the meaning of "home"—in both precipitating crises and shaping recovery (Grenier, 2022). Frontline clinical and administrative services operate at the crucial interface between the older adult and the healthcare system. EMS crews are often the first clinical responders to a home-based crisis. Nurses manage complex care transitions from hospital to home. Pharmacists address the pervasive and dangerous issue of polypharmacy. Medical secretaries coordinate the labyrinth of follow-up appointments and home health services (Rogers et al., 2020). Yet, these disciplines often function in silos, with limited communication and shared purpose.

This narrative review synthesizes evidence from 2010 to 2024 to construct an integrated understanding of aging in place within the context of emergency care networks. It will trace the pathway from population-level risk (epidemiology) and social context (sociology) to the point of crisis and recovery, examining the roles, innovations, and necessary collaborations among EMS, nursing, pharmacy, and administrative support. The goal is to move beyond a fragmented view of "crisis response" and "chronic care management" toward a vision of a cohesive, proactive, and responsive network designed to sustain safety and independence for a rapidly aging population.

The Epidemiological Landscape: Risk, Incidence, and Outcomes of Geriatric Emergencies

Understanding the challenge begins with epidemiology, which quantifies the burden and patterns of acute health events in older adults living at home. This data informs prevention strategies and system planning.

Falls are not random accidents but often predictable events with identifiable risk factors. Epidemiological studies consistently identify intrinsic factors such as muscle weakness, gait and balance disorders, polypharmacy (particularly psychoactive medications), vision impairment, and chronic conditions like osteoporosis and arthritis (Ambrose et al., 2013). Extrinsic factors include home hazards like poor lighting, loose rugs, and lack of bathroom safety equipment. The consequences are severe: falls account for over 800,000 hospitalizations annually in the U.S. alone, most often for hip fractures or traumatic brain injuries, and are a leading cause of both fatal and non-fatal injury (Florence et al., 2018). A fall, even without major injury, can trigger a "post-fall syndrome" characterized by fear of falling, reduced mobility, social withdrawal, and functional decline, paradoxically increasing future fall risk (Scheffer et al., 2008).

Beyond falls, older adults experience high rates of other emergencies. They utilize EMS at a rate three to four times higher than younger populations, with common complaints including altered mental status, respiratory distress, and cardiac symptoms (Lowthian et al., 2011). ED visits for adverse drug events are also disproportionately high, driven by polypharmacy, altered pharmacokinetics, and drug-drug interactions (Budnitz et al., 2011). Crucially, epidemiological data reveal that for many older adults, an ED visit or hospital admission is a sentinel event marking a turning point in functional independence. Over one-third of patients over 70 experience a decline in activities of daily living (ADLs) following a hospitalization, a phenomenon known as "hospital-associated disability" (Covinsky et al., 2011). This data underscores that the healthcare system's response to an acute event can itself be a determinant of whether aging in place remains viable.

The Sociological Context: Isolation, Meaning, and the Social Determinants of Risk

Epidemiology identifies *who* is at risk and *what* happens, but sociology explains *why* and within *what social context*. Aging in place is not merely a physical state but a socially embedded experience.

Social isolation (objective lack of social contacts) and loneliness (subjective feeling of being alone) are potent social determinants of health in later life. Sociological research links them to increased risks of falls, cardiovascular disease, dementia, and premature mortality, with effect sizes comparable to smoking and obesity (Holt-Lunstad et al., 2015). Isolation reduces the likelihood of someone discovering a fallen person quickly ("lie time" is a critical factor in outcomes), diminishes access to informal care, and erodes the motivation for self-care. Furthermore, isolated individuals may lack the social networks necessary to navigate complex healthcare systems post-crisis, increasing reliance on formal emergency services (Coyle & Dugan, 2012).

The home is more than a physical structure; it is a repository of identity, autonomy, and life history. Sociological perspectives highlight that older adults may prioritize "ontological security"—the sense of order, continuity, and control derived from their home environment—over objective physical safety (Wiles et al., 2012). This can manifest as resistance to home modifications (e.g., grab bars, which may symbolize disability) or reluctance to use personal emergency response systems (PERS), which can symbolize loss of independence. Understanding these meanings is essential for designing acceptable interventions (Wang et al., 2019). Additionally, sociological studies of health disparities reveal how

socioeconomic status, race, and geography create unequal access to the resources—such as affordable home modifications, reliable transportation, and high-quality home care—that make aging in place safe and sustainable (Morrow-Howell et al., 2020).

Frontline Service Integration

The integration of frontline services is where epidemiology and sociology meet practical intervention. A transformed network is required, moving from reactive 9-1-1 responses to integrated community care.

Emergency Medical Services (EMS): From Crisis Responders to Community Health Partners

The traditional EMS model is reactive: dispatched only after a crisis occurs. However, innovative Community Paramedicine (CP) programs are redefining this role. CP involves paramedics and EMTs practicing in expanded roles, often performing proactive, scheduled home visits to high-risk patients (Bigham et al., 2013). During these visits, they conduct fall risk assessments (checking home safety, reviewing medications), monitor chronic conditions, assist with medication reconciliation, and provide basic health education. By building relationships and identifying risks early, CP aims to prevent emergencies before they happen. Evidence suggests CP can reduce ED utilization, hospital readmissions, and improve patient satisfaction (Agarwal et al., 2019). Furthermore, when an emergency does occur, EMS clinicians with geriatric training are better equipped to perform a "geri-friendly" assessment, consider alternatives to transport when appropriate (e.g., treat in place with telehealth physician consultation), and ensure smooth handoff to receiving facilities or community-based providers (Shah et al., 2010).

Bridging the Hospital-Home Divide Through Transitional Care

The transition from hospital to home is a period of extreme vulnerability. Nurse-led transitional care programs are a gold-standard intervention for mitigating this risk. These programs involve advanced practice nurses or registered nurses conducting comprehensive discharge planning, following patients home for a period post-discharge, and acting as a bridge between the hospital and primary care (Naylor et al., 2011). The nurse conducts home visits to assess the environment, reconcile medications, monitor symptoms, coach patients and caregivers on self-management, and facilitate timely follow-up with physicians. The success of models like the Transitional Care Model (TCM) is well-documented, showing significant reductions in readmissions and cost, while improving patient outcomes (Hirschman et al., 2015). Nurses also play a key role in post-fall clinics and community-based geriatric assessment, providing the clinical expertise to deconstruct multifactorial risks and develop personalized care plans.

Mitigating the Peril of Polypharmacy

Polypharmacy, typically defined as the use of five or more medications, is endemic among older adults and a direct threat to aging in place. It is a major contributor to falls, confusion, and hospitalizations. Pharmacist-led medication therapy management (MTM) is a critical service. This goes beyond dispensing to include comprehensive medication reviews, identification of potentially inappropriate medications (using tools like the Beers Criteria), deprescribing (the planned reduction of unnecessary or harmful medications), and synchronization of refills to simplify regimens (Scott et al., 2015). Blister packing (unit-dose packaging) organized by administration time (e.g., "morning," "evening") is a simple but effective intervention to reduce medication administration errors, particularly for patients with cognitive impairment or complex regimens (Conn et al., 2015). Community pharmacists, embedded in care teams or conducting home visits, are uniquely positioned to reconcile medication lists from multiple prescribers—a common failure point after hospital discharge.

The Glue of the Care Network

The logistical complexity of managing multiple chronic conditions, specialist appointments, home health services, and medication refills is a formidable barrier to aging in place. This is where the medical secretary or patient care coordinator becomes indispensable. Their role transcends traditional scheduling; they are systems navigators. They ensure discharge summaries reach primary care providers, schedule follow-up appointments in a coordinated sequence, manage prior authorizations for durable medical equipment (like walkers or hospital beds), serve as a consistent point of contact for home health agencies, and remind patients of upcoming visits (Hessels et al., 2015). By reducing "administrative toxicity," they alleviate a significant burden from patients and caregivers, preventing missed appointments and lapses in care that can lead to clinical deterioration and emergency calls (Table 1). Figure 1 illustrates an interdisciplinary, community-centered network that supports aging in place while responding effectively to geriatric emergencies.

Figure 1. Integrated Network Supporting Aging in Place and Emergency Care for Older Adults

Table 1: Interdisciplinary Roles in Supporting Aging in Place and Managing Crises

Discipline	Core Function in Network	Specific Interventions & Activities	Key Collaborative Links
Epidemiology/Public Health	Population risk assessment & program planning.	Analyzes local data on fall rates, EMS use; identifies high-risk neighborhoods; evaluates program impact.	Informs targeting for EMS CP, nursing outreach; provides data to sociology for contextual analysis.
Sociology/Social Work	Contextual understanding & addressing social determinants.	Assesses social isolation, home environment meaning, caregiver stress; connects to community resources (meal delivery, senior centers).	Informs acceptable design of EMS/nursing interventions; partners with medical coordinator for resource linkage.
Emergency Medical Services (EMS)	Proactive risk reduction & acute crisis response.	Community Paramedicine home visits; fall risk assessments; geriatric-sensitive emergency triage (treat-in-place options).	Shares visit findings with primary care/nursing; refers to pharmacy for med review; alerts coordinator to new needs.
Nursing	Clinical care coordination & transitional management.	Post-discharge home visits (Transitional Care Model); chronic disease management; functional assessment in the home.	Receives referrals from EMS; partners with pharmacist on medication plans; directs coordinator for service setup.
Pharmacy	Medication safety & regimen optimization.	Comprehensive medication reviews; deprescribing; blister packing/med synchronization; adherence counseling.	Receives medication lists from nursing/EMS; identifies risks for falls; coordinates with prescribers on changes.
Medical Secretary/ Care Coordinator	Logistical integration & system navigation.	Coordinates appointments & home health schedules; manages DME authorizations; serves as central communication hub.	Executes logistics for all clinical plans; gathers information for team huddles; interfaces with patient/caregiver.

Models of Integration and Persistent Barriers

The ideal is a seamlessly integrated network, but reality is marked by fragmentation. Successful models often share common features: co-location of services (e.g., a geriatric clinic housing nursing, pharmacy, and social work), shared electronic health records (EHRs) that span community and acute care, regular interprofessional team (IPT) meetings (virtual or in-person) to discuss high-risk patients, and formal referral pathways (Fulmer et al., 2021). The Hospital at Home model is a powerful example of integration, providing acute-level care (including nursing, physician visits, diagnostics, and infusion therapy) in the patient's home, often initiated from the ED, thereby avoiding hospitalization altogether (Lee et al., 2022). However, significant barriers persist. Financing is the foremost obstacle: fee-for-service Medicare often does not reimburse for preventative home visits by EMS, comprehensive pharmacist MTM, or care coordination by medical secretaries (Alderwick et al., 2018). Siloed Information Systems: EMS patient care reports rarely integrate into outpatient EHRs, and

hospital discharge summaries are often delayed or incomplete. Workforce and Training: Many EMS clinicians and community pharmacists receive limited formal training in geriatrics. Societal Ageism can underlie a lack of political and investment priority for these integrated models (Table 2).

Table 2: Barriers and Enabling Strategies for an Integrated Aging-in-Place Network

Barrier Domain	Specific Challenges	Potential Enabling Strategies & Solutions
Financial & Reimbursement	Fee-for-service pays for transport, not prevention; MTM and care coordination are poorly reimbursed.	Advocacy for Medicare Advantage & value-based payment models that fund outcomes; bundled payments for post-discharge episodes; state Medicaid waivers for CP.
Technological & Data	Fragmented EHRs, lack of interoperability between EMS, hospital, and community providers, and digital divides among older adults.	Investment in health information exchanges (HIEs), development of shared care plans accessible to all, and use of user-friendly patient portals and telehealth.
Professional & Educational	Silos between professions; inadequate geriatric training for EMS, pharmacy, and secretarial staff; role protectionism.	Interprofessional education (IPE) curricula; creation of formal "community care team" job roles with defined protocols; cross-disciplinary shadowing and huddles.
Social & Cultural	Ageism in policy and practice; patient/caregiver reluctance to accept help; diversity in cultural perceptions of aging and care.	Public awareness campaigns; trauma-informed and culturally competent service delivery; peer support programs; engaging older adults in program design.

Future Directions and Conclusion

The future of supporting aging in place within a sustainable emergency care network hinges on intentional integration, technology, and policy reform. Technology offers tools like remote patient monitoring (RPM) for vital signs, automated fall detection sensors, and integrated telehealth platforms that can connect home-based patients directly with their care team, potentially preventing a crisis or enabling earlier, lower-acuity intervention (Lear et al., 2021). Policy and Payment Reform are fundamental. A shift from volume-based to value-based care, with accountability for health outcomes and total cost of care, would naturally incentivize the preventative, coordinated services described in this review (Bates et al., 2023). Research must continue to build the economic and clinical case for integrated models, with a focus on health equity to ensure benefits reach the most vulnerable.

In conclusion, aging in place is not a passive state but an active achievement, supported—or undermined—by a complex network of social, clinical, and administrative factors. The epidemiological risk of crisis is ever-present, shaped profoundly by sociological forces like isolation and meaning. The emergency care network, broadly defined, must evolve from a purely reactive, 9-1-1-driven system to a proactive, integrated community health partner. This requires leveraging the unique but complementary skills of EMS, nursing, pharmacy, and administrative coordination, all informed by population data and social understanding. By building these bridges—between public health and clinical care, between hospital and home, between medical and social services—we can create a system that truly respects the autonomy of older adults while providing the safety net necessary to make independence a secure and dignified reality. The goal is not merely to respond to emergencies, but to create communities where they are less likely to occur, and when they do, to manage them in a way that preserves the person's place in the world they call home.

References

1. Agarwal, G., Angeles, R., Pirrie, M., McLeod, B., Marzanek, F., Parascandalo, J., & Thabane, L. (2019). Reducing 9-1-1 emergency medical service calls by implementing a community paramedicine program for vulnerable older adults in public housing in Canada: a multi-site cluster randomized controlled trial. *Prehospital Emergency Care*. https://doi.org/10.1080/10903127.2019.1566421
2. Alderwick, H. A., Gottlieb, L. M., Fichtenberg, C. M., & Adler, N. E. (2018). Social prescribing in the US and England: emerging interventions to address patients' social needs. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, *54*(5), 715-718. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2018.01.039
3. Ambrose, A. F., Paul, G., & Hausdorff, J. M. (2013). Risk factors for falls among older adults: a review of the literature. *Maturitas*, *75*(1), 51-61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.maturitas.2013.02.009
4. Bates, D. W., Levine, D. M., Salmasian, H., Syrowatka, A., Shahian, D. M., Lipsitz, S., ... & Mort, E. (2023). The safety of inpatient health care. *New England Journal of*

Medicine, *388*(2), 142-153. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMsa2206117

5. Bergen, G. (2016). Falls and fall injuries among adults aged≥ 65 years—United States, 2014. *MMWR. Morbidity and mortality weekly report*, *65*.
6. Bigham, B. L., Kennedy, S. M., Drennan, I., & Morrison, L. J. (2013). Expanding paramedic scope of practice in the community: a systematic review of the literature. *Prehospital Emergency Care*, *17*(3), 361-372. https://doi.org/10.3109/10903127.2013.792890
7. Budnitz, D. S., Lovegrove, M. C., Shehab, N., & Richards, C. L. (2011). Emergency hospitalizations for adverse drug events in older Americans. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *365*(21), 2002-2012. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMsa1103053
8. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2013). Healthy places terminology: Aging in place. *Center for Disease Control and Prevention. http://www. cdc. gov/healthyplaces/terminology. htm*.
9. Conn, V. S., Ruppar, T. M., Chan, K. C., Dunbar-Jacob, J., Pepper, G. A., & De Geest, S. (2015). Packaging interventions to increase medication adherence: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Current medical research and opinion*, *31*(1), 145-160. https://doi.org/10.1185/03007995.2014.978939
10. Covinsky, K. E., Pierluissi, E., & Johnston, C. B. (2011). Hospitalization-associated disability:"She was probably able to ambulate, but I'm not sure". *Jama*, *306*(16), 1782-1793. doi:10.1001/jama.2011.1556
11. Coyle, C. E., & Dugan, E. (2012). Social isolation, loneliness and health among older adults. *Journal of aging and health*, *24*(8), 1346-1363. https://doi.org/10.1177/0898264312460275
12. Fernandes, F., Turra, C. M., & Rios-Neto, E. L. (2023). World population aging as a function of period demographic conditions. *Demographic Research*, *48*, 353-372. DOI: 10.4054/DemRes.2023.48.13
13. Florence, C. S., Bergen, G., Atherly, A., Burns, E., Stevens, J., & Drake, C. (2018). Medical costs of fatal and nonfatal falls in older adults. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, *66*(4), 693-698. https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.15304
14. Fulmer, T., Reuben, D. B., Auerbach, J., Fick, D. M., Galambos, C., & Johnson, K. S. (2021). Actualizing Better Health And Health Care For Older Adults: Commentary describes six vital directions to improve the care and quality of life for all older Americans. *Health Affairs*, *40*(2), 219-225. https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2020.01470
15. Gill, T. M., Gahbauer, E. A., Han, L., & Allore, H. G. (2015). The role of intervening hospital admissions on trajectories of disability in the last year of life: prospective cohort study of older people. *bmj*, *350*. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.h2361
16. Grenier, A. (2022). *Late-life homelessness: experiences of disadvantage and unequal aging*. McGill-Queen's Press-MQUP.
17. Hessels, A. J., Flynn, L., Cimiotti, J. P., Cadmus, E., & Gershon, R. R. (2015). The impact of the nursing practice environment on missed nursing care. *Clinical nursing studies*, *3*(4), 60. https://doi.org/10.5430/cns.v3n4p60
18. Hirschman, K. B., Shaid, E., McCauley, K., Pauly, M. V., & Naylor, M. D. (2015). Continuity of care: the transitional care model. *Online J Issues Nurs*, *20*(3), 1. DOI:10.3912/OJIN.Vol20No03Man01
19. Holt-Lunstad, J., Smith, T. B., Baker, M., Harris, T., & Stephenson, D. (2015). Loneliness and social isolation as risk factors for mortality: a meta-analytic review. *Perspectives on psychological science*, *10*(2), 227-237. https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691614568352
20. Lear, S. A., Norena, M., Banner, D., Whitehurst, D. G., Gill, S., Burns, J., ... & Singer, J. (2021). Assessment of an interactive digital Health–Based Self-management program to reduce hospitalizations among patients with multiple chronic diseases: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Network Open*, *4*(12), e2140591-e2140591. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.40591
21. Lee, J. Y., Yang, Y. S., & Cho, E. (2022). Transitional care from hospital to home for frail older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Geriatric Nursing*, *43*, 64-76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gerinurse.2021.11.003
22. Lowthian, J. A., Jolley, D. J., Curtis, A. J., Currell, A., Cameron, P. A., Stoelwinder, J. U., & McNeil, J. J. (2011). The challenges of population ageing: accelerating demand for emergency ambulance services by older patients, 1995–2015. *Medical Journal of Australia*, *194*(11), 574-578. https://doi.org/10.5694/j.1326-5377.2011.tb03107.x
23. Morrow-Howell, N., Galucia, N., & Swinford, E. (2020). Recovering from the COVID-19 pandemic: A focus on older adults. *Journal of aging & social policy*, *32*(4-5), 526-535.

https://doi.org/10.1080/08959420.2020.1759758

24. Naylor, M. D., Aiken, L. H., Kurtzman, E. T., Olds, D. M., & Hirschman, K. B. (2011). The importance of transitional care in achieving health reform. *Health affairs*, *30*(4), 746-754. https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2011.0041
25. Rogers, W. A., Ramadhani, W. A., & Harris, M. T. (2020). Defining aging in place: The intersectionality of space, person, and time. *Innovation in aging*, *4*(4), igaa036. https://doi.org/10.1093/geroni/igaa036
26. Scheffer, A. C., Schuurmans, M. J., Van Dijk, N., Van Der Hooft, T., & De Rooij, S. E. (2008). Fear of falling: measurement strategy, prevalence, risk factors and consequences among older persons. *Age and ageing*, *37*(1), 19-24. https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afm169
27. Scott, I. A., Hilmer, S. N., Reeve, E., Potter, K., Le Couteur, D., Rigby, D., ... & Martin, J. H. (2015). Reducing inappropriate polypharmacy: the process of deprescribing. *JAMA internal medicine*, *175*(5), 827-834. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2015.0324
28. Shah, M. N., Caprio, T. V., Swanson, P., Rajasekaran, K., Ellison, J. H., Smith, K., ... & Katz, P. (2010). A novel emergency medical services–based program to identify and assist older adults in a rural community. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, *58*(11), 2205-2211. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2010.03137.x
29. Vanleerberghe, P., De Witte, N., Claes, C., Schalock, R. L., & Verté, D. (2017). The quality of life of older people aging in place: a literature review. *Quality of Life Research*, *26*(11), 2899-2907. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-017-1651-0
30. Wang, S., Bolling, K., Mao, W., Reichstadt, J., Jeste, D., Kim, H. C., & Nebeker, C. (2019, April). Technology to support aging in place: Older adults' perspectives. In *Healthcare* (Vol. 7, No. 2, p. 60). MDPI. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare7020060
31. Wiles, J. L., Leibing, A., Guberman, N., Reeve, J., & Allen, R. E. (2012). The meaning of "aging in place" to older people. *The gerontologist*, *52*(3), 357-366. https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gnr098

.