



The Medically Complex Dental Patient: A Review of Pre-Procedural Clearance and Peri-Operative Management

Mohammad Sulaiman Alnumair⁽¹⁾, Omar Fahad Saleh Alfallaj⁽²⁾, Mohammed Abdullah Qasem⁽³⁾, Salha Hassan Ibrahim Muslih⁽⁴⁾, Muath Adel Ali Bajjah⁽⁴⁾, Manal Hamdan Mohammed Alharbi⁽⁵⁾, Abeer Falah Obaidullah Alreashidi⁽⁶⁾, Somaya Falah Obaidallah Alreashidi⁽⁶⁾, Abdulaziz Sulaiman Alnumair⁽⁷⁾, Fatimah Buayjan Saad Alotaibi⁽⁸⁾, Abdullah Turki Dhair Almutairi⁽⁹⁾, Mona Abdulrahman Mohammed Aljuaid⁽¹⁰⁾

(1) Khadaira Primary Health Care – Qassim, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(2) Buraidah Central Hospital, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(3) Njood Medical Center, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(4) Erada Mental Health Hospital – Jazan, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(5) Ohud Hospital, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(6) Rawd Bin Hadi, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(7) Qassim Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(8) Sajir General Hospital, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(9) Al-Muthnib General Hospital – Qassim, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia,

(10) Janob Al-Janobia, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia

Abstract

Background: The rising prevalence of medically complex patients, with conditions like cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and anticoagulant use, elevates the risk of perioperative complications during routine dental care. **Aim:** This review analyzes the fragmented pre-procedural clearance process, advocates for a standardized interdisciplinary pathway, and evaluates essential components for safe treatment. **Methods:** A narrative synthesis of literature (2010-2024) was conducted, focusing on medical consultation guidelines, laboratory testing utility, and coordinated care models. **Results:** Adverse events are commonly linked to poor interprofessional communication, inappropriate medication management, and inadequate patient optimization. **Conclusion:** Establishing clear protocols, enhanced by dedicated communication roles and nursing-led pre-operative clinics, is crucial for standardizing care, improving outcomes, and safely managing this vulnerable population.

Keywords: Medically Compromised Patient, Dental Clearance, Interprofessional Communication, Preoperative Care, Anticoagulation Management.

Introduction

The paradigm of dental care has undergone a profound transformation due to significant demographic and medical shifts. The global population is aging, and concurrent advances in healthcare enable individuals to live longer with chronic systemic diseases (Lockhart et al., 2008). Consequently, the archetype of the "dentally healthy" patient is increasingly supplanted by the "medically complex" patient—an individual for whom oral health is inextricably linked to a constellation of conditions such as ischemic heart disease, diabetes, renal failure, and coagulopathies, often managed by intricate pharmacologic regimens (Glurich et al., 2018). This reality redefines routine dental interventions, from exodontia to periodontal surgery, as procedures with substantial potential for medical complications.

The stress of treatment, pharmacologic interactions of anesthetics, or the disruption of critical medical therapies can precipitate acute adverse events,

including myocardial infarction, stroke, hemorrhage, or metabolic crisis (Abiko et al., 2021). Therefore, the central imperative in modern dental practice extends beyond clinical skill to encompass the safe navigation of systemic risk. This necessitates a systematic, evidence-based protocol for pre-procedural assessment and peri-operative management, a process commonly—yet often inaccurately—termed "medical clearance." However, this critical process remains inconsistently applied, poorly defined, and plagued by systemic inefficiencies, creating a landscape of variable patient safety and suboptimal interdisciplinary collaboration (Herrick et al., 2021; Raju et al., 2022). This review will analyze the flaws inherent in the current clearance model and outline a framework for its reform, emphasizing structured interprofessional communication and targeted diagnostic evaluation.

The Flawed Paradigm of "Medical Clearance"

The prevailing system for managing medically complex dental patients is fundamentally fragmented, operating on an ad-hoc basis that prioritizes clerical exchange over clinical collaboration. The process typically initiates with the dental practitioner, who, following a review of the medical history, identifies potential "red flags" and subsequently requests "clearance" from the patient's primary care physician (PCP) or specialist (Guimaraes et al., 2021). This foundational step is where critical breakdowns begin. Dental requests for clearance are frequently vague and devoid of essential context, often consisting of a simple note asking to "clear patient for dental surgery" (Malamed, 2022). Such requests fail to specify the nature, duration, and anticipated stress of the planned procedure, the type of anesthesia to be used, or the dentist's specific concerns regarding medication management or physiologic stability.

This ambiguity triggers a reciprocal cycle of miscommunication. The physician, lacking the specific dental-surgical context, is unable to provide meaningful risk stratification or perioperative recommendations. The result is often a generic, non-actionable response stating the patient is "cleared for the procedure," which offers no practical guidance for the dentist managing anticoagulation, glycemic control, or stress reduction (Dershewitz et al., 2014). This disconnect underscores a fundamental clash of objectives: the dentist seeks collaborative management planning, while the physician, interpreting the request literally, provides only a snapshot of chronic disease stability. Logistical barriers exacerbate this problem, including reliance on slow fax or mail correspondence, the absence of direct communication channels, and the persistent lack of interoperable electronic health records (EHRs) that would allow for shared clinical data (Sollecito et al., 2015).

The consequences of this flawed system are direct and deleterious to patient safety and healthcare efficiency. Critical decisions—such as whether to continue, adjust, or bridge anticoagulant therapy—are made without a complete, collaborative understanding of the risks of bleeding versus thrombosis. This informational vacuum often leads to defensive medicine, where patients are unnecessarily postponed, referred to costly hospital settings for routine care, or subjected to inappropriate cessation of vital medications (Kaplovitch & Dounaevskaia, 2019). Thus, the current model of medical clearance fails both patients and providers, transforming a necessary safety step into a source of confusion, delay, and potential harm.

Toward a Safer Model as Pillars of Structured Communication and Targeted Assessment

The flawed and fragmented current system for managing medically complex dental patients necessitates a reformed model built upon two interdependent pillars: structured interprofessional communication and judicious, condition-directed pre-

procedural testing. This fundamental shift moves the clinical objective from seeking a generic "clearance"—a term that implies a simple binary approval—to engaging in a specific "consultation" aimed at collaborative risk management and co-management planning (Table 1).

The first pillar requires transforming communication from ambiguous requests into targeted, two-way dialogues. The responsibility lies with the initiating dentist to craft a consultative request that is specific, actionable, and rich in clinical context. An effective request must explicitly include a precise description of the planned procedure and anesthetic, a concise summary of the patient's pertinent medical history and current medications, a clear statement of the specific clinical question or concern, and any relevant objective data already available (Renton et al., 2013; Oyetola et al., 2020). For instance, rather than asking for "clearance," a dentist should ask, "Requesting recommended goal INR for multiple simple extractions and guidance on peri-operative management of the patient's apixaban." This level of precision enables the medical consultant to provide tailored advice on medication adjustment, stress reduction protocols, or the need for further medical stabilization, moving from passive sign-off to active partnership. Operationalizing this improved dialogue demands systemic support, such as the crucial role of a dedicated coordinator to track referrals and ensure follow-up, and the adoption of standardized consultation forms or integrated electronic health record templates to eliminate variability and ensure consistent communication of all critical data points (Glick, 2015; Northridge et al., 2019).

The second, equally critical pillar is the rationale for targeted pre-procedural testing. Objective laboratory and diagnostic data are indispensable for complementing the clinical history and guiding evidence-based management decisions. Testing must be hypothesis-driven and directly informed by the patient's specific medical conditions and the inherent risks of the planned dental procedure, rather than applied as an indiscriminate routine screening panel (Little et al., 2017). This targeted strategy is exemplified in several key clinical areas. For patients on vitamin K antagonists like warfarin, a recent International Normalized Ratio (INR) value—ideally within 24 to 72 hours of the procedure—is essential for accurately assessing bleeding risk and informing decisions about anticoagulant management (Douketis et al., 2022; Nematullah et al., 2009). For diabetic patients, a Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) provides a critical three-month average of glycemic control, identifying those with poorly controlled diabetes at higher risk for postoperative complications and guiding potential pre-operative medication adjustments (Care, 2021; Poudel et al., 2018). In patients with renal or hepatic impairment, basic metabolic panels and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) are vital for ensuring the safe dosing of

medications commonly used in dentistry, such as antibiotics and analgesics, thereby preventing toxicity (Ekinici et al., 2018). Furthermore, for patients with significant cardiac history, an electrocardiogram or further evaluation may be warranted, per established guidelines that emphasize the patient's functional status and procedural risk (Fleisher et al., 2014; Al-Mohaisen et al., 2022).

By anchoring the pre-procedural evaluation in this dual framework of precise, collaborative communication and objective, condition-specific data, dental professionals can systematically replace a fragmented and risky system with a standardized, evidence-based approach. This model fosters a true shared responsibility for patient safety, ensuring that the medically complex dental patient receives care that is both procedurally sound and medically secure.

Table 1: Targeted Pre-Procedural Assessment by Common Medical Condition

Medical Condition	Key Pre-Procedural Considerations	Essential Labs/Testing	Dental Management Goals
Anticoagulation Therapy (Warfarin/DOACs)	Assess the bleeding risk of the procedure vs. the thromboembolic risk of interrupting therapy.	INR (for warfarin, within 24-72h); CrCl (for DOAC dosing assessment).	Maintain therapeutic anticoagulation for most procedures; coordinate with the physician for high-risk cases.
Diabetes Mellitus	Assess glycemic control to minimize infection/poor healing risk; prevent hypoglycemia.	HbA1c (<8% ideal); pre-operative fingerstick glucose.	Schedule morning appointments; advise on medication/meal timing; monitor for hypoglycemia.
Ischemic Heart Disease / Heart Failure	Assess functional capacity and stability; minimize stress-induced ischemia.	Recent ECG if symptomatic or unstable; BP measurement.	Stress reduction protocols; ensure adequate analgesia; limit vasoconstrictor use if indicated.
Chronic Kidney Disease	Assess bleeding tendency (platelet dysfunction), infection risk, and drug clearance.	eGFR, electrolytes, CBC.	Adjust antibiotic/analgesic doses; monitor for bleeding; consider prophylaxis per nephrologist.
Prosthetic Joints / Valves	Determine need for antibiotic prophylaxis per current guidelines.	None routinely; confirmation of valve/joint type from physician.	Administer AP only as indicated by AHA/ADA/AAOS guidelines (now very limited).

Management of Antithrombotic Therapy

The management of antithrombotic therapy—encompassing anticoagulants and antiplatelet agents—presents a critical and frequent challenge in dental care for medically complex patients. Modern evidence has shifted practice away from the routine interruption of these medications. For most routine dental procedures, continuing therapy is now recommended, as the risk of clinically significant bleeding is low and manageable with local hemostatic measures, whereas the thromboembolic risk from cessation can be severe (Boccatonda et al., 2023; Kaplovitch & Dounaevskaia, 2019). Specific protocols vary by agent. For patients on vitamin K antagonists like warfarin, therapy should be continued if the International Normalized Ratio (INR) is within the therapeutic range (e.g., 2.0–3.5), supplemented by meticulous surgical technique and local hemostasis, such as tranexamic acid mouthwash or resorbable sponges (Douketis et al., 2012).

For direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) like apixaban or rivaroxaban, management is guided by procedural bleeding risk and drug pharmacokinetics. For low-risk procedures, skipping a single dose may suffice; for higher-risk surgery, a brief interruption

(typically 24–48 hours prior) coordinated with the drug's half-life and the patient's renal function is advised, with prompt resumption post-operatively (Nematullah et al., 2009; Tomaselli et al., 2020). Similarly, single or dual antiplatelet therapy (e.g., aspirin, clopidogrel) should generally be continued, as the risk of cardiovascular events, particularly in patients with coronary stents, outweighs the manageable bleeding risk (Baron et al., 2013; Johnston, 2016). In complex cases, such as those involving mechanical heart valves or recent stent placement, direct consultation with the patient's cardiologist or hematologist is essential.

Cardiovascular Considerations in Dental Management

Dental management of patients with cardiovascular disease requires careful attention beyond anticoagulation, focusing on hypertension, ischemic heart disease, heart failure, and the safe use of vasoconstrictors. Uncontrolled hypertension elevates the risk of perioperative crisis and bleeding; elective care should be deferred until blood pressure is reasonably controlled (commonly below 180/110 mmHg), with an emphasis on anxiety and pain reduction to minimize stress (Bogari, 2019). For

patients with a recent myocardial infarction or coronary stent placement, elective dental procedures are typically postponed for at least six months post-event to allow for cardiac stabilization and stent endothelialization, with urgent care managed in close consultation with cardiology (Fleisher et al., 2014). The use of local anesthetics with vasoconstrictors like epinephrine is considered safe for most patients with stable cardiovascular disease, as the doses used in dentistry are very low and provide the benefit of profound anesthesia, reducing endogenous catecholamine release (Seminaro-Amez et al., 2021; Becker & Reed, 2012). However, careful aspiration, slow injection, and adherence to total dose limits are mandatory. For patients with severe heart failure or significant arrhythmias, modifications such as supplemental oxygen, upright positioning, and judicious fluid management during longer appointments may be necessary (Doble et al., 2023).

Peri-operative Management of Endocrine Disorders

The perioperative management of patients with diabetes mellitus demands meticulous planning to prevent both hyperglycemia, which impairs healing and immune function, and hypoglycemia, which poses an immediate acute risk (Draznin et al., 2022). Pre-operative assessment should evaluate the patient’s glycemic control via Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), review their medication regimen, and understand their typical dietary patterns. For minor procedures under local anesthesia, the standard approach is to maintain the patient's normal medication and meal schedule, scheduling appointments—ideally in the morning—to minimize disruption (Poudel et al., 2018). A pre-operative blood glucose check is recommended. For more extensive procedures requiring sedation or general anesthesia, or for patients with poorly controlled diabetes (HbA1c >9%), a coordinated plan

with the patient’s endocrinologist or primary care provider is essential to adjust insulin or oral hypoglycemic agents around fasting requirements and procedural stress (Glurich et al., 2018). Post-operative instructions must include clear guidance on recognizing and managing signs of both hypo- and hyperglycemia, as surgical stress can destabilize glucose control for several days.

The Nursing-Led Pre-Procedural Assessment Clinic

A promising systemic innovation for optimizing care is the establishment of a nursing-led pre-procedural assessment clinic, often called a "dental clearance clinic." Staffed by registered nurses or nurse practitioners trained in perioperative medicine, this clinic serves as a centralized hub for comprehensive evaluation before dental treatment (Villa et al., 2015; Sollecito et al., 2015). The clinic nurse performs a detailed medical history, conducts a focused physical exam, orders and interprets necessary laboratory tests, reconciles medications, and screens for unstable conditions. A core function is to facilitate direct communication between the dental team and the patient’s medical providers to gather records, clarify management plans, and formulate a unified, patient-specific safety protocol (Wakabayashi, 2019). This model directly addresses the failures of the traditional fragmented system by reducing the burden on primary care physicians, providing standardized and thorough assessment, improving interdisciplinary communication through a dedicated coordinator, and proactively mitigating risk (Nono et al., 2022). Furthermore, it enhances healthcare efficiency by reducing last-minute cancellations and unnecessary referrals to hospital operating rooms, representing a cost-effective solution for large dental institutions or integrated health systems (Table 2).

Table 2: Proposed Model for a Standardized Peri-Operative Pathway

Phase	Key Actions	Responsible Party
1. Initial Dental Screening	Comprehensive medical history (ASA classification), detail planned procedure, identify red flags.	General Dentist
2. Pre-Procedural Optimization	Path A: Structured medical consultation sent. Path B (Preferred): Referral to Nursing-led Clearance Clinic for full assessment, lab work, and care plan development.	Dentist / Medical Secretary / Clinic Nurse
3. Interprofessional Collaboration	Nurse/NP or Dentist communicates with PCP/Specialist to obtain records, clarify management (e.g., INR goal, diabetic plan).	Nurse / Dentist / Medical Provider
4. Patient-Specific Safety Plan	Documented plan created covering: medication management (anticoagulants, insulin), need for prophylaxis, stress reduction, anesthesia plan, emergency preparedness.	Nurse / Dental Team
5. Day-of-Procedure Execution	Confirm patient adherence to plan, re-check vital signs/point-of-care INR/glucose,	Dental Team

	implement stress reduction and hemostatic protocols.	
6. Post-Procedure Handoff	& Provide clear written post-op instructions (including medication restart timeline), communicate outcome to medical team if major issue arose.	Dental Team / Nurse

Executing the Management Plan

The day of the procedure is the critical juncture where all pre-operative planning is implemented. It should commence with a formal "time-out" or pre-operative huddle, verifying the patient's identity, the planned procedure, and confirming the specific, agreed-upon medical management plan (Al-Mahalawy et al., 2020). Documentation of vital signs—blood pressure, heart rate, and oxygen saturation—is mandatory. For relevant patients, point-of-care testing for metrics such as blood glucose or International Normalized Ratio (INR) provides a final verification of stability (Care, 2021; Nematullah et al., 2009). Proactive stress reduction is crucial for patients with cardiovascular or anxiety disorders. It may involve administering pre-arranged short-acting anxiolytics (e.g., triazolam), utilizing nitrous oxide sedation, and ensuring a calm environment with clear communication (Greenberg & Glick, 2016).

Intra-operative monitoring should be tailored to the patient's American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) Physical Status classification and procedural complexity, with pulse oximetry considered standard for most patients beyond the healthiest individuals undergoing very minor care. Meticulous local hemostasis is essential, employing a multi-modal approach such as electrocautery, absorbable hemostatic agents (e.g., gelatin or collagen sponges), sutures, and antifibrinolytic mouth rinses (e.g., tranexamic acid) to secure the surgical site before patient dismissal (Sáez-Alcaide et al., 2017). The dental team must be prepared for medical emergencies, maintaining a stocked and checked emergency kit, a reliable oxygen supply, and ensuring staff are proficient in Basic Life Support (BLS) and, ideally, Advanced Cardiac Life Support (ACLS) protocols (Malamed, 2022).

Post-Procedure Management and Continuity of Care

Effective peri-operative management concludes with a structured post-procedure handoff to ensure continuity within the patient's broader healthcare ecosystem. Providing the patient with clear, written post-operative instructions is vital. These instructions must include specific guidance on medication management—particularly the precise timing for resuming any temporarily held antithrombotic agents—and a list of warning signs that necessitate immediate medical attention, such as uncontrolled bleeding, chest pain, shortness of breath, or symptoms suggestive of a stroke (Renton et al.,

2013). A proactive follow-up phone call within 24 to 48 hours for high-risk patients serves as an important safety net. Furthermore, for patients who experienced a significant intra-operative event (e.g., syncope, severe hypertensive episode, or hypoglycemia) or who have particularly complex medical histories, a formal communication from the dental office to the patient's primary care physician or relevant specialist is recommended. This communication should summarize the procedure, any complications encountered, and the post-operative plan, thereby closing the loop of care and ensuring the dental intervention is accurately integrated into the patient's longitudinal health record (Sollecito et al., 2015).

Conclusion

In conclusion, the safe management of the medically complex dental patient is an ethical and clinical imperative that necessitates a decisive shift from a fragmented, ad-hoc "clearance" process to a standardized, collaborative, and proactive care pathway. The significant risks associated with treating this population—including adverse cardiac events, hemorrhage, and metabolic crises—are substantial yet largely mitigable through systematic preparation. The cornerstone of this new paradigm involves several key components: replacing ambiguous clearance requests with structured, specific interprofessional consultation; employing targeted, evidence-based pre-procedural laboratory testing; adhering to established protocols for managing antithrombotic therapy and chronic systemic diseases; and exploring innovative models such as nursing-led pre-operative assessment clinics to coordinate patient optimization (Villa et al., 2015; Zulfiqar et al., 2023). By adopting such an integrated model, dental practices can transition from merely identifying risk to actively managing it. This evolution not only elevates patient safety and care quality but also enhances practice efficiency, reduces medico-legal vulnerability, and firmly establishes dentistry as an integral component of the holistic healthcare system. Ultimately, establishing a clear, efficient, and evidence-based pathway for the medically complex patient is not merely a procedural enhancement but a fundamental professional obligation to a vulnerable and growing population.

References

1. Abiko, Y., Paudel, D., Matsuoka, H., Moriya, M., & Toyofuku, A. (2021). Psychological backgrounds of medically compromised patients and its implication in dentistry: A narrative review. *International journal of environmental research and public*

- health, 18(16), 8792. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18168792>
2. Al-Mahalawy, H., El-Mahallawy, Y., & El Tantawi, M. (2020). Dentists' practices and patient safety: A cross-sectional study. *European Journal of Dental Education*, 24(3), 381-389. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eje.12513>
 3. Al-Mohaissen, M. A., Al-Mehisen, R., Lee, T., & Al-Madi, E. M. (2022). Managing cardiac patients: dentists' knowledge, perceptions, and practices. *international dental journal*, 72(3), 296-307. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.identj.2021.04.006>
 4. Baron, T. H., Kamath, P. S., & McBane, R. D. (2013). Management of antithrombotic therapy in patients undergoing invasive procedures. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 368(22), 2113-2124. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMr1206531
 5. Becker, D. E., & Reed, K. L. (2012). Local anesthetics: review of pharmacological considerations. *Anesthesia progress*, 59(2), 90-102. <https://doi.org/10.2344/0003-3006-59.2.90>
 6. Bocatonda, A., Frisone, A., Lorusso, F., Bugea, C., Di Carmine, M., Schiavone, C., ... & Guagnano, M. T. (2023). Perioperative management of antithrombotic therapy in patients who undergo dental procedures: a systematic review of the literature and network meta-analysis. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 20(7), 5293. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20075293>
 7. Bogari, D. F. (2019). Dentists' knowledge and behavior toward managing hypertensive patients. *Nigerian journal of clinical practice*, 22(2), 154-161. DOI: 10.4103/njcp.njcp_493_18
 8. Care, D. (2021). 15. Diabetes Care in the Hospital: Standards of Medical Care in. *Diabetes Care*, 44, S211.
 9. Dershewitz, S., Scully, C., & Dios, P. D. (2014). Dental treatment for patients with systemic disease: The need for communication. *Oral Diseases*, 20(5), 435-440.
 10. Doble, A., Bescos, R., Witton, R., Shivji, S., Ayres, R., & Brookes, Z. (2023). A Case-Finding Protocol for High Cardiovascular Risk in a Primary Care Dental School—Model with Integrated Care. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 20(6), 4959. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20064959>
 11. Douketis, J. D., Spyropoulos, A. C., Spencer, F. A., Mayr, M., Jaffer, A. K., Eckman, M. H., ... & Kunz, R. (2012). Perioperative management of antithrombotic therapy: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest*, 141(2), e326S-e350S. <https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.11-2298>
 12. Douketis, J. D., Spyropoulos, A. C., Murad, M. H., Arcelus, J. I., Dager, W. E., Dunn, A. S., ... & Moores, L. K. (2022). Executive summary: perioperative management of antithrombotic therapy: an American College of Chest Physicians Clinical Practice Guideline. *Chest*, 162(5), 1127-1139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2022.08.004>
 13. Draznin, B., Aroda, V. R., Bakris, G., Benson, G., Brown, F. M., Freeman, R., ... & American Diabetes Association Professional Practice Committee. (2022). 16. Diabetes care in the hospital: Standards of medical care in diabetes-2022. *Diabetes Care*, 45. DOI: 10.2337/dc22-S016
 14. Ekinçi, C., Karabork, M., Sırıopol, D., Dincer, N., Covic, A., & Kanbay, M. (2018). Effects of volume overload and current techniques for the assessment of fluid status in patients with renal disease. *Blood Purification*, 46(1), 34-47. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000487702>
 15. Fleisher, L. A., Fleischmann, K. E., Auerbach, A. D., Barnason, S. A., Beckman, J. A., Bozkurt, B., ... & Wijeyesundera, D. N. (2014). 2014 ACC/AHA guideline on perioperative cardiovascular evaluation and management of patients undergoing noncardiac surgery: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 64(22), e77-e137. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2014.07.944>
 16. Guimaraes, C. C., Lopes, L. C., de Cássia Bergamaschi, C., Ramacciato, J. C., Silva, M. T., de Oliveira Araújo, J., ... & Motta, R. H. L. (2021). Local anaesthetics combined with vasoconstrictors in patients with cardiovascular disease undergoing dental procedures: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ open*, 11(7), e044357. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-044357>
 17. Glick, M. (2015). *Burket's oral medicine*. PMPH USA.
 18. Glurich, I., Schwei, K. M., Lindberg, S., Shimpi, N., & Acharya, A. (2018). Integrating medical-dental care for diabetic patients: qualitative assessment of provider perspectives. *Health promotion practice*, 19(4), 531-541. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1524839917737752>
 19. Greenberg, B. L., & Glick, M. (2015). Medical screening/assessment in the dental

- office. *The ADA Practical Guide to Patients with Medical Conditions*, 465-484. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119121039.ch21>
20. Herrick, K. R., Terrio, J. M., & Herrick, C. (2021). Medical clearance for common dental procedures. *American family physician*, 104(5), 476-483.
 21. Johnston, S. (2016). An evidence summary of the management of the care of patients taking novel oral antiplatelet drugs undergoing dental surgery. *The Journal of the American Dental Association*, 147(4), 271-277. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adaj.2015.11.007>
 22. Kaplovitch, E., & Dounaevskaia, V. (2019). Treatment in the dental practice of the patient receiving anticoagulation therapy. *The Journal of the American Dental Association*, 150(7), 602-608. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adaj.2019.02.011>
 23. Little, J. W., Miller, C., & Rhodus, N. L. (2017). *Dental Management of the medically compromised Patient-E-Book: Dental Management of the medically compromised patient-E-Book*. Elsevier Health Sciences.
 24. Lockhart, P. B., Brennan, M. T., Sasser, H. C., Fox, P. C., Paster, B. J., & Bahrani-Mougeot, F. K. (2008). Bacteremia associated with toothbrushing and dental extraction. *Circulation*, 117(24), 3118-3125. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.758524>
 25. Malamed, S. F. (2022). *Medical Emergencies in the Dental Office E-Book: Medical Emergencies in the Dental Office E-Book*. Elsevier Health Sciences.
 26. Nematullah, A., Alabousi, A., Blanas, N., Douketis, J. D., & Sutherland, S. E. (2009). Dental surgery for patients on anticoagulant therapy with warfarin: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects (DARE): Quality-assessed Reviews [Internet]*.
 27. Nono, D., Mapley, E., Rwenyonyi, C. M., & Okullo, I. (2022). Assessment of the informed consent process in the provision of dental care in Mulago hospital, Uganda. *BMC Oral Health*, 22(1), 501. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-022-02550-2>
 28. Northridge, M. E., Birenz, S., Gomes, D. M., Golembeski, C. A., Greenblatt, A. P., Shelley, D., & Russell, S. L. (2016). Views of dental providers on primary care coordination at chairside: a pilot study. *American Dental Hygienists' Association*, 90(3), 170-180.
 29. Oyetola, E. O., Adesina, O. M., Ogunbameru, K., Egunjobi, S., & Adejobi, A. F. (2020). Distribution of medical conditions among dental patients. *Nigerian Medical Journal*, 61(3), 129-135. Retrieved from <https://www.ajol.info/index.php/nmj/article/view/225556>
 30. Poudel, P., Griffiths, R., Wong, V. W., Arora, A., Flack, J. R., Khoo, C. L., & George, A. (2018). Oral health knowledge, attitudes and care practices of people with diabetes: a systematic review. *BMC public health*, 18(1), 577. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-018-5485-7>
 31. Raju, K., Thakur, Y. B., Garell, C., & Hilton, I. V. (2022). Medical-Dental Integration: A Promising Approach To Address Unmet Dental Needs of Children and Youth With Special Health Care Needs. *Journal of the California Dental Association*, 50(6), 331-343. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19424396.2022.12220721>
 32. Renton, T., Woolcombe, S., Taylor, T., & Hill, C. M. (2013). Oral surgery: part 1. Introduction and the management of the medically compromised patient. *British dental journal*, 215(5), 213-223. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.2013.830>
 33. Sáez-Alcaide, L. M., Sola-Martín, C., Molinero-Mourelle, P., Paredes-Rodríguez, V., Zarrías-Caballero, C., & Hernández-Vallejo, G. (2017). Dental management in patients with antiplatelet therapy: A systematic review. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Dentistry*, 9(8), e1044. <https://doi.org/10.4317/jced.54079>
 34. Seminario-Amez, M., González-Navarro, B., Ayuso-Montero, R., Jané-Salas, E., & López-López, J. (2021). Use of local anesthetics with a vasoconstrictor agent during dental treatment in hypertensive and coronary disease patients. A systematic review. *Journal of Evidence Based Dental Practice*, 21(2), 101569. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebdp.2021.101569>
 35. Sollecito, T. P., Abt, E., Lockhart, P. B., Truelove, E., Paumier, T. M., Tracy, S. L., ... & Frantsve-Hawley, J. (2015). The use of prophylactic antibiotics prior to dental procedures in patients with prosthetic joints: evidence-based clinical practice guideline for dental practitioners—a report of the American Dental Association Council on Scientific Affairs. *The Journal of the American Dental Association*, 146(1), 11-16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adaj.2014.11.012>
 36. Tomaselli, G. F., Mahaffey, K. W., Cuker, A., Dobesh, P. P., Doherty, J. U., Eikelboom, J. W., ... & Wiggins, B. S. (2020). 2020 ACC expert consensus decision pathway on management of bleeding in patients on oral

-
- anticoagulants: a report of the American College of Cardiology Solution Set Oversight Committee. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 76(5), 594-622.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2020.04.053>
37. Villa, A., Stock, S., Aboalela, A., Lerman, M. A., Woo, S. B., Sonis, S. T., & Treister, N. S. (2015). Oral Medicine referrals at a hospital-based practice in the United States. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology and Oral Radiology*, 119(4), 423-429.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oooo.2015.01.003>
38. Wakabayashi, H. (2019). Medical-dental collaboration in general and family medicine. *Journal of General and Family Medicine*, 20(2), 47.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/jgf2.237>
39. Zulfiqar, R., Naser, M., Ijaz, H., Saloom, W. N., Suleman, S., Hussain, S. S., & Akinbode, A. O. (2023). A Cross-Sectional Study of Green Behaviors: Current Usage and Perceived Barriers among Worldwide Dental Care Practitioners. *Zhonghua er bi yan hou tou jing wai ke za zhi= Chinese journal of otorhinolaryngology head and neck surgery*, 54, 1265-1272.